85-10

GR-85-10

CONCRETE PERFORMANCE IN GRAND COULEE FOREBAY DAM, WASHINGTON: 10-YEAR CORE REPORT

NEWARK PUBLIC LIBRARY

MAY 15 1986

U. S. GOVT. PUBS.
DEPOSITORY 378

November 1985
Engineering and Research Center

U.S. Department of the Interior
Bureau of Reclamation
Division of Research and
Laboratory Services
Concrete and Structural Branch

I 27.72:

READEX FICHE best copy available

Reproduced from poor document.

7-2090 (4-81)
Bureau of Reclamation

TECHNICAL REPORT STANDARD TITLE PAGE

1. REPORT NO.	2. GOVERNMENT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NO.
GR-85-10		

4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE	5. REPORT DATE
Concrete Performance in Grand Coulee Forebay Dam, Washington: 10-Year Core Report	November 1985
	6. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION CODE D-1510
7. AUTHOR(S) William L. Tison and W. Ray Willingham	8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NO. GR-85-10
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Army Engineer Laboratory U.S. Army Corps of Engineers South Atlantic Division Marietta, GA	10. WORK UNIT NO.
	11. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. Interagency Agreement - Fund No. 3-04540
12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS Bureau of Reclamation Engineering and Research Center Denver, CO 80225	13. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED 10-year Report
	14. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE DIBR

15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

Microfiche and/or hard copy available at the E&R Center, Denver, CO

Editor:JMT(c)

16. ABSTRACT

This study is a continuation of the long-term evaluation of the strength and elastic properties of the concrete in Grand Coulee Dam. Previously, cores were evaluated when the concrete was approximately 6-months and 1-year old. The results of tests on the physical properties of 250-mm (10-in) diameter cores extracted from interior and exterior mass concrete in Grand Coulee Forebay Dam, Washington, at 10-years' age indicate good quality, uniform, well-consolidated concrete, with no evidence of chemical attack or alkali silica reaction.

The tensile strength of the interior concrete averaged 0.36 MPa (52.2 lb/in^2) for jointed specimens and 1.1 MPa (160 lb/in^2) for unjointed specimens. The average 10-year compressive strength of the interior concrete was 34.2 MPa (4955 lb/in^2), which is 1.1 MPa (160 lb/in^2) above the 1-year average. For the interior concrete, the average 10-year modulus of elasticity was 38.8 GPa (5.62 x 10^6 lb/in^2), and the average Poisson's ratio was 0.18.

The average 10-year compressive strength of the exterior concrete was 37.4 MPa (5420 lb/in^2), which is 0.1 MPa (14.5 lb/in^2) below the 1-year average. For the exterior concrete, the average 10-year modulus of elasticity was 41.6 GPa (6.06 x 10^6 lb/in^2), and the average Poisson's ratio was 0.16.

17. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS

a. DESCRIPTORS-- compressive strength/ Poisson's ratio/ concrete testing/ modulus of elasticity/ tensile strength/ cores/ mass concrete/ concrete properties/ concrete dams

b. IDENTIFIERS-- Grand Coulee Dam/ Columbia Basin Project, Washington.

c. COSATI Field/Group 13B, 13M COWRR: 1303.1 SRIM: 50B, 50C

18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT	19. SECURITY CLASS (THIS REPORT)	21. NO. OF PAGES
	UNCLASSIFIED	115
	20. SECURITY CLASS (THIS PAGE)	22. PRICE
	UNCLASSIFIED	

GR-85-10

CONCRETE PERFORMANCE IN GRAND COULEE FOREBAY DAM, WASHINGTON: 10-YEAR CORE REPORT

by

William L. Tison
W. Ray Willingham

Performed by
U.S. Army Engineer Laboratory
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
South Atlantic Division
Marietta, Georgia

Concrete and Structural Branch
Division of Research and Laboratory Services
Engineering and Research Center
Denver, Colorado

SI METRIC

November 1985

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR ★ BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Vinton Guy, the principal investigator for the Bureau of Reclamation, coordinated the work performed by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, SADL (South Atlantic Division Laboratory). The work was performed from August 1983, through September 1984, under the direction of William L. Tison, P. E. and W. Ray Willingham, Registered Professional Geologist. Harvey L. Mayes, Civil Engineering Technician, directly supervised the various phases of the work. The report was prepared by William L. Tison and W. Ray Willingham.

As the Nation's principal conservation agency, the Department of the Interior has responsibility for most of our nationally owned public lands and natural resources. This includes fostering the wisest use of our land and water resources, protecting our fish and wildlife, preserving the environmental and cultural values of our national parks and historical places, and providing for the enjoyment of life through outdoor recreation. The Department assesses our energy and mineral resources and works to assure that their development is in the best interests of all our people. The Department also has a major responsibility for American Indian reservation communities and for people who live in Island Territories under U.S. Administration.

The research covered by this report was funded under the Bureau of Reclamation PRESS (Program Related Engineering and Scientific Studies) allocation No. DB-14, Longtime Concrete Studies.

The information contained in this report regarding commercial products or firms may not be used for advertising or promotional purposes and is not to be construed as an endorsement of any product or firm by the Bureau of Reclamation.

CONTENTS

	Page
Acknowledgments	ii
Introduction	1
Background	1
Purpose	1
Scope	1
Conclusions	2
Description of the study	2
Samples	2
Selection of specimens	2
Physical property tests and petrographic analysis	4
Preparation of specimens	4
Compressive strength	4
Elastic properties	4
Direct tension	5
Petrographic analysis	5
Analysis of results	5
Density	5
Compressive strength	5
Elastic properties	9
Tensile strength	9
Petrographic	10
Bibliography	10
Appendixes	
A – Concrete core photographs	11
B – Concrete core logs	27
C – Physical test results	45
D – Cursory petrographic reports	49
E – Report of petrographic analysis	79
F – Testing photographs	93
G – Direct shear tests	97

TABLES

Table		
1	Core location data	3
2	Summary of compressive strength and elastic properties test results on 250-mm (10-in) diameter cores at 10 years' age – Grand Coulee Forebay Dam	6
3	Summary of direct tensile strength test results on 250-mm (10-in) diameter cores at 10 years' age – Grand Coulee Forebay Dam	7
4	Comparison of average compressive strengths of 250-mm (10-in) diameter cores at various ages	7

FIGURE

Figure		
1	Compressive strength development – Grand Coulee Forebay Dam	8

INTRODUCTION

A program investigating the effects of age and loading on strength and elastic properties of concrete from Grand Coulee Forebay Dam was authorized by an interagency agreement, fund obligation No: 3-04540, dated August 5, 1983, between the U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation, and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, SADL (South Atlantic Division Laboratory). The details of the investigation were outlined in the interagency agreement.

Background

The Grand Coulee Forebay Dam is located on the right bank of the Columbia River, downstream from and adjacent to Grand Coulee Dam, near Grand Coulee, Washington. It is a 61-m (201-ft) high gravity dam containing 463 000 m^3 (605 000 yd^3) of mass concrete. The dam is divided into monoliths by vertical transverse contraction joints. Galleries and adits provide access to selected locations inside the dam.

The effects of age and loading on the strengths and elastic properties of the interior and exterior concrete of the Forebay Dam had been studied previously. Cores were extracted and tested when the concrete was approximately 6 months old and 1 year old. USBR (Bureau of Reclamation) Laboratory Report No. GR-13-77 presents data and conclusions based on those tests.

Purpose

When the Forebay Dam concrete was 10 years old, 250-mm (10-in) diameter cores were extracted to test the uniformity of the concrete; to determine its physical properties; and to evaluate its structural behavior.

Scope

Thirty-three meters (109 ft) of 250-mm (10-in) diameter cores were extracted from 10 different holes and tested. All of these cores were logged and photographed. The unit weights of 31 selected specimens were measured. Twenty-three of these were tested for compressive strength, and their modulus of elasticity and Poisson's ratio were determined. The other eight selected cores were tested for direct tensile strength; four of these cores were jointed and four were unjointed. After testing, a cursory petrographic examination was performed on each compressive and tensile

strength specimen, and two interior and two exterior specimens were selected for detailed petrographic examination. All results were then compared with the results of previous tests.

CONCLUSIONS

Based on the analysis of the test results, visual observations, and petrographic analyses, the following conclusions are offered.

1. After 10 years, the concrete is in good condition. There is no evidence of chemical attack, alkali silica reaction, or damage from cyclic freezing and thawing.
2. The fact that the average 10-year compressive strength was less than the average 1-year strength in the exterior concrete seems reasonable.
3. The fact that the average 10-year compressive strength was greater than the average 1-year strength in the interior concrete also seems reasonable.
4. The average 10-year tensile strength of the interior concrete was less than the 1-year average. This is strictly a function of the specimens tested rather than an indication of a decrease in the tensile strength.
5. Elastic stiffening of the concrete is indicated by an increase from the 1-year to the 10-year modulus of elasticity for both interior and exterior concrete.

DESCRIPTION OF THE STUDY

Samples

Table 1 summarizes the pertinent location information for the cores (from the 10 holes) furnished for testing.

The cores were received at Marietta, Georgia, on August 17, 1983, packed in 44 wooden boxes each 4 ft long. The cores were wrapped in brown waterproof paper and packed in sawdust. The sawdust and the cores were dry when they were received.

Selection of Specimens

All of the cores were removed from the boxes and stripped of the brown paper. The pieces from each hole were fitted together into their full length. The individual pieces were then assigned

Table 1. – Core location data.

Hole No.	Block No.	No. of test specimens	Specimen length		Location
			m	ft	
		Interior concrete			
106-1	106	3	2.7	9	Foundation adit
109-1	109	6	5.8	19	Inspection gallery
113-1	113	5	4.3	14	Inspection gallery
113-1a	113	4	2.7	9	Inspection gallery
116-1	116	4	2.7	9	Gate gallery
118-2	118	7	2.7	9	Access gallery
119-2	119	3	2.7	9	Downstream adit
120-1	120	4	2.7	9	Foundation gallery
		Exterior concrete			
100-1	100	3	2.7	9	Crest of dam
WDB-1	Wing dam B	7	4.0	13	Crest of dam

laboratory identification numbers (SADL Lab. Nos.). The cores were logged by a petrographer, and photographs were taken (See app. A and app. B). These photographs and logs were used for selecting the test specimens. Specimens were selected in the following way:

1. First, compressive and tensile specimens were selected from lifts that had been tested previously, so that comparisons could be made.

2. Second, intact specimens containing construction joints were selected, particularly for the direct tensile tests.

3. Finally, additional specimens were selected to complete the required 21 compressive and 8 direct tensile tests.

Twenty-three compressive strength specimens and eight direct tensile specimens were ultimately selected. Two intact joint specimens were sent back to Denver for direct shear testing by USBR personnel. This testing was done to define the shear strength displacement characteristics of the construction joints (app. G). All selected specimens were then marked with yellow tape before the final photographs were taken (See app. A).

PHYSICAL PROPERTY TESTS AND PETROGRAPHIC ANALYSIS

Preparation of Specimens

The specimens selected for the compressive and the direct tensile tests were sawed to the required nominal test length of 510 mm (20 in). The length of the compressive strength specimens ranged from 455 mm (17.9 in) to 530 mm (20.9 in). The lengths of the direct tensile test specimens ranged from 495 mm (19.5 in) to 515 mm (20.25 in). All specimens were sawed with a 610-mm (24-in) diameter Felker continuous rim diamond blade. The sawed ends were smooth, but not exactly perpendicular to the core axis. Tensile specimens with construction joints were sawed so the joint was positioned in the center. After sawing, the specimens were weighed in air and under water to determine their density (unit weight). The specimens were stored in a moist curing room before they were tested.

No equipment was available for lapping specimens of this size. Therefore, all specimens were capped with a thin, high-strength sulfur mortar cap. This was done in accordance with ASTM C 617, Standard Method of Capping Cylindrical Specimens (See fig. F1 in app. F).

Compressive Strength

Compressive strength was determined in accordance with ASTM C 39, Standard Method for Compressive Strength of Cylindrical Concrete Specimens. The specimens were tested using a Tinius-Olsen Universal hydraulic testing machine with a capacity of 1957 kN (440 000 lbf) (See fig. F3). The compressive strength of two specimens exceeded this capacity. These specimens were then tested on a 4448-kN (1 000 000-lbf) capacity Baldwin compression machine at the nearby Lockheed Georgia Aircraft Company.

Elastic Properties

The elastic properties, modulus of elasticity, and Poisson's ratio were determined for each compressive strength test in accordance with ASTM C 469, Standard Test Method for Static Modulus of Elasticity and Poisson's Ratio of Concrete in Compression. The longitudinal and transverse strains were measured using a compressometer-extensometer furnished by the USBR Laboratory (See fig. F4).

Direct Tension

Direct tension specimens were attached to steel end-plates with epoxy adhesive (See fig. F2). The plates were then connected with chain links to two spherical rod end bearings. Tensile force was then applied until failure to these rod end bearings, using the same Tinius-Olsen testing machine. The plates used for these tests were furnished by the USBR Laboratory.

Petrographic Analysis

Each specimen subjected to strength testing received a cursory petrographic examination after the test. The failed specimens were photographed, and each failure was described by the nature of the structural surfaces, the percentage of broken aggregate, chemical deposits, and other characteristics. In addition, four specimens, two representative of interior and two representative of exterior concrete, were selected for detailed petrographic examination. This examination was performed in accordance with ASTM C 856, Petrographic Examination of Hardened Concrete.

ANALYSIS OF RESULTS

Density

Density determinations made on the 23 compressive strength specimens and on the 8 direct tensile specimens are shown in table C1. For the specimens tested, the density averaged 2499 kg/m^3 (156.0 lb/ft^3) and ranged from 2419 kg/m^3 (151.0 lb/ft^3) to 2595 kg/m^3 (162.0 lb/ft^3). This compares well with the average of 2483 kg/m^3 (155 lb/ft^3) for the 6-month and 1-year cores. There were no significant differences between the densities of the exterior and interior specimens.

Compressive Strength

The results of the individual compressive strength tests are shown in table C1. The results are summarized in tables 2 and 3.

The average compressive strength for the seven exterior concrete specimens tested was 37.4 MPa (5420 lb/in^2). Results ranged from 32.5 MPa (4710 lb/in^2) to 46.0 MPa (6665 lb/in^2) with a coefficient of variation of 11.8 percent. The average strength is slightly less than the 1-year average of 37.5 MPa (5440 lb/in^2) (See table 4 and fig. 1). There is no obvious explanation

Table 2 – Summary of compressive strength and elastic properties test results on 250-mm (10-in) diameter cores at 10 years' age – Grand Coulee Forebay Dam.

Core No.	Compressive strength		Strength ratio: core/28-day 6-in by 12-in cyl., %	Modulus of elasticity		Poisson's ratio
	MPa	lb/in²		GPa	lb/in² X 10^6	
			Exterior concrete			
WDB-1-1	33.3	4830	111	37.3	5.40	0.17
WDB-1-2	37.2	5390	124	40.0	5.80	.17
WDB-1-3	46.0	6665	153	43.8	6.35	.13
WDB-1B-E	32.5	4710	111	46.2	6.70	.16
WDB-1B-5	37.8	5480	129	41.7	6.05	.14
100-1a-2	41.1	5955	117	44.2	6.40	.16
100-1a-3	33.9	4920	105	39.7	5.75	.19
Average	37.4	5420		41.8	6.06	0.16
			Interior concrete			
106-1-2	38.3	5560	151	49.0	7.10	0.13
109-1-2	36.3	5270	—	35.9	5.20	.14
109-1-5	25.2	3660	116	35.0	5.07	.14
113-1-1	32.6	4720	138	30.7	4.45	.14
113-1-2	30.5	4420	129	33.8	4.90	.24
113-1-4	34.6	5020	—	34.4	4.99	.16
113-1a-2	36.3	5260	153	33.1	4.80	.16
113-1a-3	30.8	4470	130	34.5	5.00	.23
116-1-2	34.3	4980	103	46.4	6.72	.25
116-1-3	38.2	5540	114	40.8	5.91	.21
118-2-2	34.1	4940	—	42.8	6.20	.22
118-2-3	35.8	5040	—	40.0	5.80	.11
118-2-7	39.2	5680	—	45.4	6.58	.22
119-2-1	34.3	4970	102	38.8	5.62	.21
119-2-2	34.3	4980	102	40.7	5.90	.20
120-1-1	33.0	4790	139	39.7	5.76	.19
Average	34.2	4955		38.8	5.62	0.18

Table 3. – Summary of direct tensile strength test results on 250-mm (10-in) diameter cores at 10 years' age – Grand Coulee Forebay Dam.

Core No.	Tensile strength MPa	Tensile strength lb/in²	Core strength ratio: tensile/avg. compressive, %	Disbonded area, %	Distance of break from end mm	Distance of break from end in	Remarks
			Interior concrete				
106-1-3	0.41	60	1.2	75	280	11	Jointed
109-1-3	0.31	45	0.9	90	50	2	Jointed
109-1-4	0.96	140	2.8	95	75	3	Unjointed
113-1-3	0.55	80	1.6	80	75	3	Unjointed
116-1-4	1.79	260	5.2	50	25	1	Unjointed
118-2-7	1.38	200	4.0	40	25	1	Unjointed
Average	0.90	130					

Table 4. – Comparison of average compressive strengths of 250-mm (10-in) diameter cores at various ages.

Age	Number of Specimens	Average compressive strength MPa	Average compressive strength lb/in²	Coefficient of variation, %
		Exterior concrete		
6 months	15	33.8	4900	19.2
1 year	13	37.5	5440	21.0
10 years	7	37.4	5420	11.8
		Interior concrete		
6 months	21	30.2	4380	17.5
1 year	21	32.4	4700	17.0
10 years	16	34.2	4955	8.7



Figure 1. – Compressive strength development – Grand Coulee Forebay Dam.

for this fact, but the results do indicate that there has been no strength gain in the exterior concrete after 1 year. This may be reasonable because the exterior concrete had higher early strengths and may have been subject to moisture and temperature variations that slowed or stopped hydration. As expected, the coefficient of variation for these cores was less than that for either the 6-month or 1-year test results. The most obvious reason for strength differences or variations is the size and distribution of coarse aggregate in the various cores. Some of the cores, such as WDB-1-3, contained only 38-mm (1½-in) maximum size aggregate; which resulted in higher strength. The lowest strength exterior core, 32.5 MPa (4710 lb/in²), contained plus 76-mm (3-in) aggregate, several pieces of which were on the outside of the core where they induced stress concentrations.

The 16 compressive strength specimens from interior concrete averaged 34.2 MPa (4955 lb/in^2). They ranged from 25.2 MPa (3660 lb/in^2) to 39.2 MPa (5680 lb/in^2). The specimen that failed at 25.2 MPa (3660 lb/in^2) had a large, 150-mm (6-in), piece of aggregate on the surface, which probably precipitated the failure. The coefficient of variation was 8.7 percent. There was a 2.2 MPa (155 lb/in^2) strength gain between the 1-year-old and the 10-year-old samples of interior concrete (See table 4 and fig. 1). This may indicate that hydration and strength gain are continuing in the lower strength mixtures where moisture and temperature variation are small. The coefficient of variation at 10 years is half that of the 6-month and 1-year test results for both the interior and the exterior mixes.

The small variation in density may indicate that there is very little variation in the uniformity or quality of the concrete from various locations. The variation in strengths seems to be related to the size and distribution of aggregate within the various cores. Low breaks are often caused by large aggregates on the outside of the core "popping out," resulting in a reduced cross-section and early failure. This phenomenon can be observed in some of the failure photographs (See app. D).

Elastic Properties

The results of the tests on elastic properties are summarized in table 3. The modulus of elasticity for the exterior concrete ranged from 37.3 GPa (5.40 x 10^6 lb/in^2) to 46.2 GPa (6.70 x 10^6 lb/in^2), with an average of 41.6 GPa (6.06 x 10^6 lb/in^2). This is an increase from the 35.7 GPa (5.18 x 10^6 lb/in^2) modulus of elasticity measured at 1 year. The modulus of elasticity for interior concrete ranged from 30.7 GPa (4.45 x 10^6 lb/in^2) to 49.0 GPa (7.10 x 10^6 lb/in^2), with an average of 38.8 GPa (5.62 x 10^6 lb/in^2). This is an increase from the overall average of 35.7 GPa (5.18 x 10^6 lb/in^2) measured at 1 year.

The Poisson's ratio averaged 0.16 for the exterior concrete and 0.18 for the interior concrete. This is a decrease from the 0.19 overall average at 1 year.

Tensile Strength

The results of the individual direct tensile strength tests are listed in table C1 and summarized in table 3. No specimens from the exterior concrete were tested in tension because there were no suitable jointed specimens. Six specimens from interior concrete were tested. The two jointed specimens averaged 0.36 MPa (53 lb/in^2) tensile strength. The four unjointed specimens averaged

1.1 MPa (170 lb/in²) tensile strength. This average includes core No. 113-1-3, which broke at 0.55 MPa (80 lb/in²), probably because of a piece of weathered aggregate and a large piece of aggregate in the failure section (See photograph in app. D).

The average 10-year tensile strengths of the unjointed specimens was 3.4 percent of the average 10-year compressive strength. If the low break were not included, it would have been 4.0 percent greater than the 3.7-percent average at 1 year and comparable to other results for mass concrete. Most of the specimens, including the two jointed specimens, broke in the lower third. Both jointed specimens broke at the joints, which were in the lower third. As expected, the strength was inversely proportional to the amount of aggregate disbonding.

The few results obtained indicate that the bond strength of the joints is less than the tensile strength. This is contrary to the 1-year results.

Petrographic

Concrete specimens from two interior cores and two exterior cores were examined petrographically. The concrete was found to be of good quality with no evidence of chemical attack, alkali silica reaction, or deterioration from cyclic freezing and thawing (See app. E).

BIBLIOGRAPHY

American Society for Testing and Materials, *Annual Standards*, Part 14, "Concrete and Mineral Aggregates," Philadelphia, PA, 1982.

Newsom, M. D., and J. D. Richards, *Concrete Performance in Grand Coulee Forebay Dam, Washington: 1-year Core Report*, Bureau of Reclamation Report No. GR-13-77, Denver, CO.

Bureau of Reclamation, Division of Engineering Laboratories, "Properties of Mass Concrete in Bureau of Reclamation Dams," Concrete Laboratory Report No. C-1009, Denver, CO, 1961.

American Society for Testing and Materials, *Annual Standards*, Part 41, "ASTM E 380 – Standard for Metric Practice," Philadelphia, PA, 1982.

APPENDIX A

CONCRETE CORE PHOTOGRAPHS



Figure A1. – Grand Coulee Forebay Dam. 250-mm (10-in) diameter cores from hole No. WDB-1.



Figure A2. – Grand Coulee Forebay Dam. 250-mm (10-in) diameter cores from hole No. WDB-lb.



Figure A3. – Grand Coulee Forebay Dam. 250-mm (10-in) diameter cores from hole No. 100-1a.

Figure A4. – Grand Coulee Forebay Dam. 250-mm (10-in) diameter cores from hole No. 106-1.



Figure A5. – Grand Coulee Forebay Dam. 250-mm (10-in) diameter cores from hole No. 109-1.



Figure A6. – Grand Coulee Forebay Dam. 250-mm (10-in) diameter cores from hole No. 109-1.



Figure A7. – Grand Coulee Forebay Dam. 250-mm (10-in) diameter cores from hole No. 113-1.



Figure A8. – Grand Coulee Forebay Dam. 250-mm (10-in) diameter cores from hole No. 113-1a.



Figure A9. – Grand Coulee Forebay Dam. 250-mm (10-in) diameter cores from hole No. 116-1.



Figure A10. – Grand Coulee Forebay Dam. 250-mm (10-in) diameter cores from hole No. 118-2.



Figure A11. – Grand Coulee Forebay Dam. 250-mm (10-in) diameter cores from hole No. 118-2.



Figure A12. – Grand Coulee Forebay Dam. 250-mm (10-in) diameter cores from hole No. 119-2.



Figure A13. – Grand Coulee Forebay Dam. 250-mm (10-in) diameter cores from hole No. 120-1.

APPENDIX B

CONCRETE CORE LOGS

Hole No. WDB-1

DRILLING LOG	DIVISION	INSTALLATION	SHEET 1 OF 1 SHEETS
		Bureau of Reclamation	

1. PROJECT: Grand Coulee Forebay Dam
2. LOCATION (Coordinates or Station): Sta. 112 +24.92, Offset Sta. 19+44.57
3. DRILLING AGENCY: Contract
4. HOLE NO. (As shown on drawing title and file number): WDB-1
5. NAME OF DRILLER: Type of Concrete: Exterior
6. DIRECTION OF HOLE: ☐ VERTICAL ☐ INCLINED _____ DEG. FROM VERT.
7. THICKNESS OF OVERBURDEN
8. DEPTH DRILLED INTO ROCK
9. TOTAL DEPTH OF HOLE 14.80 ft.
10. SIZE AND TYPE OF BIT 10 IN.
11. DATUM FOR ELEVATION SHOWN (USBM or MSL)
12. MANUFACTURER'S DESIGNATION OF DRILL
13. TOTAL NO. OF OVER-BURDEN SAMPLES TAKEN: DISTURBED / UNDISTURBED
14. TOTAL NUMBER CORE BOXES
15. ELEVATION GROUND WATER
16. DATE HOLE: STARTED 6-3-82 / COMPLETED 6-7-82
17. ELEVATION TOP OF HOLE 1311.0
18. TOTAL CORE RECOVERY FOR BORING %
19. SIGNATURE OF INSPECTOR

ELEVATION a	DEPTH b	LEGEND c	CLASSIFICATION OF MATERIALS (Description) d	% CORE RECOVERY e	BOX OR SAMPLE NO. f	REMARKS (Drilling time, water loss, depth of weathering, etc., if significant) g
						Top of Boring
1303.0	8.0					Drilling Record (ft.)
			Concrete is fresh, sound, and well consolidated. 6-in max. size well rounded aggregate. Few trapped air Voids. No obvious reaction of any kind. Rims on some particles but appears to be weathering.		Core No.1	9.2
					145/446	Compression
						10.9
1299.7	11.1					d.11.3
					Core No. 2	12.9
					145/447	Compression
1296.2	14.8					14.6
						d.14.80
			Contractor Drilling Record Incomplete			Bottom of Boring

ENG FORM 1836 MAR 71 PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE. (TRANSLUCENT)

PROJECT Grand Coulee Forebay Dam | HOLE NO. WDB-1

B-1

Hole No. WDB-1b

DRILLING LOG | DIVISION | INSTALLATION: Bureau of Reclamation | SHEET 1 OF 2 SHEETS

1. PROJECT: Grand Coulee Forebay Dam
2. LOCATION (Coordinates or Station): Sta 112+62.92, Offset 19+36.57 (ext.)
3. DRILLING AGENCY: Contract
4. HOLE NO. (As shown on drawing title and file number): WDB-1b
5. NAME OF DRILLER: Type of Concrete: Exterior
6. DIRECTION OF HOLE: ☒ VERTICAL ☐ INCLINED _____ DEG. FROM VERT.
7. THICKNESS OF OVERBURDEN
8. DEPTH DRILLED INTO ROCK
9. TOTAL DEPTH OF HOLE 13.33
10. SIZE AND TYPE OF BIT 10 in.
11. DATUM FOR ELEVATION SHOWN (USBM or USC)
12. MANUFACTURER'S DESIGNATION OF DRILL
13. TOTAL NO. OF OVERBURDEN SAMPLES TAKEN: DISTURBED | UNDISTURBED
14. TOTAL NUMBER CORE BOXES
15. ELEVATION GROUND WATER
16. DATE HOLE: STARTED 6-9-82 | COMPLETED 6-10-82
17. ELEVATION TOP OF HOLE 1311.00
18. TOTAL CORE RECOVERY FOR BORING %
19. SIGNATURE OF INSPECTOR

ELEVATION a	DEPTH b	LEGEND c	CLASSIFICATION OF MATERIALS (Description) d	% CORE RECOVERY e	BOX OR SAMPLE NO. f	REMARKS (Drilling time, water loss, depth of weathering, etc., if significant) g
1311.00	0.0					Drilling Record (ft.) Top of Boring
			Rebar Concrete is fresh, sound and well consolidated. Max. size 1½" down to about 6.0 ft. Aggregate particles mostly well rounded. Cement paste gray, no visible reaction products. No excessive voids.		Core No.3 145/448	0.8 Compression d.2.58 2.5
1308.42	2.58				Core 145/452	
1306.87	4.13		d.4.13 Break in Core		Extra 145/453	d.5.18
1305.92	5.18		d.5.18 Break in Core		145/449	pulled bottom
1305.0	6.0		d.6.0, joint, open. Core spun in barrel. Apparent loss of core based on depths marked on core.		Core No. 4	
1304.5	6.5				145/450	
1302.98	8.02					d.8.02 Bottom of Run 8.3
						Log continued

ENG FORM 1836 MAR 71 PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE (TRANSLUCENT) B-2

PROJECT: Grand Coulee Forebay Dam | HOLE NO. WDB-1b


DRILLING LOG (Cont Sheet)
ELEVATION TOP OF HOLE 1311.00
Hole No. WDB-1b
PROJECT Grand Coulee Forebay Dam
INSTALLATION Bureau of Reclamation
SHEET 2 OF 2 SHEETS
ELEVATION a
DEPTH b
LEGEND c
CLASSIFICATION OF MATERIALS (Description) d
% CORE RECOV. ERY e
BOX OR SAMPLE NO f
REMARKS (Drilling time, water loss, depth of weathering, etc., if significant) g
1302.5 8.5
Continuation
Extra Core 145/454
Compression
9.7
d.10.02
1300.98 10.02
10.1
Core No. 5
145/451
Compression
11.6
1299.1 11.9 **
d.11.9
d.11.9" to 13.33" missing
Bottom of Core
d.13.33
Depth drilled into rock
*Note: Drill record shows d.11.02', core labeled 10.02' on "extra core" but 11.02' on core #5— measurement indicates that correct depth is 10.02 ft.
**
Labeled 13.33' in drill record— 13.03' on core, 11.9' measured.
ENG FORM 1836-A
PROJECT Grand Coulee Forebay Dam
HOLE NO. WDB-1b

B-3

Hole No. 100-1a

DRILLING LOG	DIVISION	INSTALLATION	SHEET 1 OF 1 SHEETS
		Bureau of Reclamation	

1. PROJECT: Grand Coulee Forebay Dam
2. LOCATION (Coordinates or Station): Sta 101+-9, Offset 20+26.31
3. DRILLING AGENCY: Contract
4. HOLE NO. (As shown on drawing title and file number): Block 100 Hole 100-1a
5. NAME OF INSPECTOR: Type of Concrete: Exteriod
6. DIRECTION OF HOLE: ☒ VERTICAL ☐ INCLINED _____ DEG. FROM VERT.
7. THICKNESS OF OVERBURDEN
8. DEPTH DRILLED INTO ROCK
9. TOTAL DEPTH OF HOLE 8.55 ft.
10. SIZE AND TYPE OF BIT 10 in
11. DATUM FOR ELEVATION SHOWN (MSL)
12. MANUFACTURER'S DESIGNATION OF DRILL
13. TOTAL NO. OF OVER-BURDEN SAMPLES TAKEN: DISTURBED / UNDISTURBED
14. TOTAL NUMBER CORE BOXES
15. ELEVATION GROUND WATER
16. DATE HOLE: STARTED 6/11/82 COMPLETED 6/16/82
17. ELEVATION TOP OF HOLE 1309.45 ft.
18. TOTAL CORE RECOVERY FOR BORING %
19. SIGNATURE OF INSPECTOR

ELEVATION a	DEPTH b	LEGEND c	CLASSIFICATION OF MATERIALS (Descriptions) d	% CORE RECOVERY e	BOX OR SAMPLE NO. f	REMARKS (Drilling time, water loss, depth of weathering, etc., if significant) g
1309.45	0.0					Top of Boring Drilling Record(ft)
			Steel Rebar d.0.0 to 2.0' 1½" max. size aggregate		Core No.1 145/455	d.1.25' d.2.8"
1306.65	2.8		Concrete in good condition. No reaction products- few voids. Cement paste gray. No honeycombing. Aggregate mostly well rounded. Some 6 in. pieces.		Core No. 2 145/456	3.0 Compression (not tested) 4.7 d.5.38' Pulled Bottom
1304.07	5.38		Rebar		145/457	
1303.3	6.15		d.6.15 Construction joint, smooth flat surfaces		Core No. 3 145/458	6.4 d.7.25' Compression 8.0 d.8.25'
1300.9	8.55					d.8.55
			*Depths reversed on core			Bottom of Boring

ENG FORM 1836 MAR 71 PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE (TRANSLUCENT)

PROJECT: Grand Coulee Forebay Dam | HOLE NO. 100-1a

B-4

Hole No. 106-1

DRILLING LOG	DIVISION	INSTALLATION	SHEET 1
		Bureau of Reclamation	OF 1 SHEETS

1. PROJECT: Grand Coulee Forebay Dam
2. LOCATION (Coordinates or Station): Sta. 103+97.61, Offset 20+77.5
3. DRILLING AGENCY: Contract
4. HOLE NO. (As shown on drawing title and file number): Block 106 Hole 106-1
5. NAME OF DRILLER
6. DIRECTION OF HOLE: ☒ VERTICAL ☐ INCLINED _____ DEG. FROM VERT.
7. THICKNESS OF OVERBURDEN
8. DEPTH DRILLED INTO ROCK
9. TOTAL DEPTH OF HOLE 9.0 ft.
10. SIZE AND TYPE OF BIT 10-in
11. DATUM FOR ELEVATION SHOWN (MSL or USC)
12. MANUFACTURER'S DESIGNATION OF DRILL
13. TOTAL NO. OF OVER-BURDEN SAMPLES TAKEN — DISTURBED — UNDISTURBED
14. TOTAL NUMBER CORE BOXES
15. ELEVATION GROUND WATER
16. DATE HOLE — STARTED 7/8/82 — COMPLETED 7/8/82
17. ELEVATION TOP OF HOLE 1117.5
18. TOTAL CORE RECOVERY FOR BORING %
19. SIGNATURE OF INSPECTOR

ELEVATION a	DEPTH b	LEGEND c	CLASSIFICATION OF MATERIALS (Description) d	% CORE RECOVERY e	BOX OR SAMPLE NO. f	REMARKS (Drilling time, water loss, depth of weathering, etc., if significant) g
1117.5	0.0					Drilling Record (ft.) Top of Boring
			0.0 to 1.7 ft. 1½" max. size of coarse aggregate Concrete Sound. Cement paste gray. Few voids. No reactions noted. Aggregate predominantly well rounded with smooth surfaces.		Core No. 2 145/459	
1114.75	2.75				Core No. 2 145/460	d 3.0 3.4 Compression 5.0
			d.2.75' & 5.25' Breaks in Core			
1112.25	5.25		1/2" voids			d 6.0
			Construction joint indicated on drill record at about 7 ft. Joint not distinguishable on core.		145/461	7.7 Tension d.8.15
1109.35	8.15					Bottom of Core
1108.5	9.0					9.0 Bottom of Hole

ENG FORM 1836 MAR 71 PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE (TRANSLUCENT)

PROJECT: Grand Coulee Forebay Dam — HOLE NO. 106-1

B-5

Hole No. 109-1

DRILLING LOG | DIVISION | INSTALLATION: Bureau of Reclamation | SHEET 1 OF 2 SHEETS

1. PROJECT: Grand Coulee Forebay Dam	10. SIZE AND TYPE OF BIT: 10 in.
2. LOCATION (Coordinates or Station): Sta 106+ 07.91, Offset 20+31.63	11. DATUM FOR ELEVATION SHOWN (USBM or MSL)
3. DRILLING AGENCY: Contract	12. MANUFACTURER'S DESIGNATION OF DRILL
4. HOLE NO. (As shown on drawing title and file number): Block 109 109-1	13. TOTAL NO. OF OVER-BURDEN SAMPLES TAKEN: DISTURBED / UNDISTURBED
5. NAME OF DRILLER: XXXXXXXXXX Type of Concrete: Interior	14. TOTAL NUMBER CORE BOXES
6. DIRECTION OF HOLE: ☒ VERTICAL ☐ INCLINED ____ DEG. FROM VERT.	15. ELEVATION GROUND WATER
7. THICKNESS OF OVERBURDEN	16. DATE HOLE: STARTED 6/18/82 COMPLETED 6/21/82
8. DEPTH DRILLED INTO ROCK	17. ELEVATION TOP OF HOLE 1237.5
9. TOTAL DEPTH OF HOLE 19.0 ft.	18. TOTAL CORE RECOVERY FOR BORING %
	19. SIGNATURE OF INSPECTOR

ELEVATION a	DEPTH b	LEGEND c	CLASSIFICATION OF MATERIALS (Description) d	% CORE RECOVERY e	BOX OR SAMPLE NO. f	REMARKS (Drilling rate, water loss, depth of weathering, etc., if significant) g
1237.5	0.0					Drilling Record (ft.) Top of Boring
			0.0-1.2' 1½" Max. size aggregate		CORE No. 1	
			Rebar			
			Fresh sound concrete, well consolidated. Cement paste is gray. Few trapped air voids. Aggregate maximum size is 6 in, generally smooth surfaces, few somewhat flat pieces.		145/462	
1335.25	2.25				Core No. 2	2.5 d.2.83'
					145/463	4.2 Compression
1232.8	4.7				Core No. 3	d.5.0'
					145/464	d.6.33 6.2
1230.5	7.0		d.7.0 No visible construction joint.			7.9 Tension

ENG FORM 1836 MAR 71 PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE (TRANSLUCENT)

8-6

PROJECT: Grand Coulee Forebay Dam | HOLE NO. 109-1


DRILLING LOG (Cont Sheet)
ELEVATION TOP OF HOLE
Hole No. 109-1
PROJECT
Grand Coulee Forebay Dam
INSTALLATION
Bureau of Reclamation
SHEET 2 OF 2 SHEETS
ELEVATION
DEPTH
LEGEND
CLASSIFICATION OF MATERIALS (Description)
% CORE RECOV. ERY
BOX OR SAMPLE NO
REMARKS (Drilling time, water loss, depth of weathering, etc., if significant)
1228.80
8.70
void ½"
Core No. 4
d.9.00'
9.0
145/465
11.0
Tension
d.11.50'
1226.00
11.50
No Core
No Core
1224.00
13.5
NOTE: Elevation marking 1224.00 was the only depth control on core to bottom of hole
Core No. 6
d.14.00
145/466
d.15.10
1222.0
15.50
Void left by Tubing
Core Labeled No. 5*
16.0
d.16.50
*Labeled No. 5 on core Out of proper Position
17.7
Compression
145/467
1218.5
19.00
d.19.00'
Bottom of Boring
ENG FORM 1836-A
JAN 67
PROJECT
Grand Coulee Forebay Dam
HOLE NO
109-1

B-7

Hole No. 113-1

DRILLING LOG	DIVISION	INSTALLATION: Bureau of Reclamation	SHEET 1 OF 2 SHEETS
1. PROJECT: GRAND COULEE FOREBAY DAM		10. SIZE AND TYPE OF BIT: 10 in.	
2. LOCATION (Coordinates or Station): Sta 108+04.16, Offset 20 + 31.79		11. DATUM FOR ELEVATION SHOWN (USM or MSL):	
3. DRILLING AGENCY: Contract		12. MANUFACTURER'S DESIGNATION OF DRILL:	
4. HOLE NO. (As shown on drawing title and file number): Block 113 Hole 113-1		13. TOTAL NO. OF OVER-BURDEN SAMPLES TAKEN: DISTURBED / UNDISTURBED	
5. NAME OF DRILLER: Type of Concrete: Interior		14. TOTAL NUMBER CORE BOXES:	
6. DIRECTION OF HOLE: [X] VERTICAL [ ] INCLINED ____ DEG. FROM VERT.		15. ELEVATION GROUND WATER:	
7. THICKNESS OF OVERBURDEN:		16. DATE HOLE: STARTED 6-22-82 / COMPLETED 6-23-82	
8. DEPTH DRILLED INTO ROCK:		17. ELEVATION TOP OF HOLE: 1237.5	
9. TOTAL DEPTH OF HOLE: 17.17 ft.		18. TOTAL CORE RECOVERY FOR BORING: %	
		19. SIGNATURE OF INSPECTOR:	

ELEVATION a	DEPTH b	LEGEND c	CLASSIFICATION OF MATERIALS (Description) d	% CORE RECOVERY e	BOX OR SAMPLE NO. f	REMARKS (Drilling time, water loss, depth of weathering, etc., if significant) g
1237.5	0.0					Drilling Record (ft.) Top of Boring
			d. 0.0' - 1.35' Core Missing			
1236.15	1.35					d. 1.35 Top of Core
			3/4 in. air void		CORE NO.1* 145/468	* labeled #2 on core; 1.7; Compression; 3.4
1233.8	3.7		loose 6 in. aggregate			d. 3.7
			Concrete is fresh, sound and well consolidated. Max. aggregate size is 6 in. Particles are typically well rounded with smooth surfaces Paste is gray and contains a few voids. Some rimming on coarse aggregate but appears to be weathering.		CORE NO.2 145/469	4.2; Compression; d. 5.85; 5.7
1231.65	5.85		d. 5.85' to 7.15' Numerous small air voids 1/16" and smaller		145/469 CORE NO.5 2 pcs 145/471	
1230.35	7.15		d. 7.15 Construction joint, open. Core spun in barrel. 1 in. steel inbedded in concrete. Honeycombed in area.			
1229.3	8.2		d. 8.2 Break in core.			
1228.5	9.0					d. 9.0
						Continued

ENG FORM 1836 MAR 71 PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE (TRANSLUCENT)

PROJECT: GRAND COULEE FOREBAY DAM | HOLE NO. 113-1

B-8


DRILLING LOG (Cont Sheet)
ELEVATION TOP OF HOLE 1237.5
Hole No. 113-1
PROJECT GRAND COULEE FOREBAY DAM
INSTALLATION Bureau of Reclamation
SHEET 2 OF 2 SHEETS
ELEVATION
DEPTH
LEGEND
CLASSIFICATION OF MATERIALS (Description)
% CORE RECOV FBY
BOX OR SAMPLE NO.
REMARKS (Drilling time, water loss, depth of weathering, etc. (optional))
Con't from sheet 1
9
9.2
CORE NO.3
145/472
Tension
10
10.9
11
1226.08 11.42
d. 11.67
12.0
12
CORE NO.4
Compression
13
145/473
13.7
14
1223.33 14.17
d. 14.17
Bottom of Core
d. 14.17 to Bottom
Core Missing
15
16
1220.33 17.17
d. 17.17
17
Depth Cored
ENG FORM 1836-A
JUN 67
PROJECT GRAND COULEE FOREBAY DAM
HOLE NO. 113-1

B-9

Hole No. 113-1a

DRILLING LOG	DIVISION	INSTALLATION: Bureau of Reclamation	SHEET 1 OF 1 SHEETS
1. PROJECT: Grand Coulee Forebay Dam		10. SIZE AND TYPE OF BIT: 10 in.	
2. LOCATION (Coordinates or Station): Sta 108+ 06.14, offset 20+31.79		11. DATUM FOR ELEVATION SHOWN (TBM or MSL)	
3. DRILLING AGENCY: Contract		12. MANUFACTURER'S DESIGNATION OF DRILL	
4. HOLE NO. (As shown on drawing title and file number): Block 113 Hole No. 113-1a		13. TOTAL NO. OF OVER-BURDEN SAMPLES TAKEN: DISTURBED / UNDISTURBED	
5. NAME OF DRILLER: Type of Concrete: Interior		14. TOTAL NUMBER CORE BOXES	
6. DIRECTION OF HOLE: [X] VERTICAL [ ] INCLINED ____ DEG. FROM VERT.		15. ELEVATION GROUND WATER	
7. THICKNESS OF OVERBURDEN		16. DATE HOLE: STARTED 6/23/82 / COMPLETED 6/23/82	
8. DEPTH DRILLED INTO ROCK		17. ELEVATION TOP OF HOLE: 1237.5	
9. TOTAL DEPTH OF HOLE: 9.0 ft		18. TOTAL CORE RECOVERY FOR BORING: %	
		19. SIGNATURE OF INSPECTOR	

ELEVATION a	DEPTH b	LEGEND c	CLASSIFICATION OF MATERIALS (Description) d	% CORE RECOVERY e	BOX OR SAMPLE NO. f	REMARKS (Drilling time, water loss, depth of weathering, etc., if significant) g
1237.5	0.0					Drilling Record (ft.) Top of Boring →
			0.0 to 1.5 ft. 1½ in. max. agg. size		Core No.1 145/474	
1236.17	1.33		Steel Rebar 1"(2) Concrete is fresh, sound and well consolidated. Few trapped air voids. No visible reaction products. Few rimmed coarse aggregate particles - appears to be weathering. Coarse aggregate well rounded with smooth surfaces. Cement paste gray.			d.1.50
					Core No. 2 145/475	1.7 Compression
1233.9	3.60					3.4
					Core No. 3 145/476	d.4.0 3.9 Compression
1231.87	5.63		Large aggregate (6 in.)			5.4
					Core No. 4 145/477	d.6.5
1230.0	7.5		d.7.5 Construction joint indicated on drilling record.			d.7.63
1229.87	7.63		d.7.63 to 9.0 ft. Core missing (Left in Hole)			Bottom of Core
1228.5	9.0					Bottom of Hole

ENG FORM 1836 MAR 71 PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE (TRANSLUCENT)

PROJECT Grand Coulee Forebay Dam 215-7a

8-10

Hole No. 116-1

DRILLING LOG	DIVISION	INSTALLATION	SHEET 1 OF 1 SHEETS
		Bureau of Reclamation	

1. PROJECT: Grand Coulee Forebay Dam	10. SIZE AND TYPE OF BIT
2. LOCATION (Coordinates or Station): Sta 110+01.88, Offset 20 + 18.60	11. DATUM FOR ELEVATION SHOWN (USBM or MSL)
3. DRILLING AGENCY: Contract	12. MANUFACTURER'S DESIGNATION OF DRILL
4. HOLE NO. (As shown on drawing title and file number): Block 116 Hole no. 116-1	13. TOTAL NO. OF OVER-BURDEN SAMPLES TAKEN: DISTURBED / UNDISTURBED
5. NAME OF STRUCTURE: Type of Concrete: Interior	14. TOTAL NUMBER CORE BOXES
6. DIRECTION OF HOLE: ☒ VERTICAL ☐ INCLINED ____ DEG. FROM VERT.	15. ELEVATION GROUND WATER
7. THICKNESS OF OVERBURDEN	16. DATE HOLE: STARTED 6/29/82 / COMPLETED 7/2/82
8. DEPTH DRILLED INTO ROCK	17. ELEVATION TOP OF HOLE 1297.5
9. TOTAL DEPTH OF HOLE 9.0 ft.	18. TOTAL CORE RECOVERY FOR BORING %
	19. SIGNATURE OF INSPECTOR

ELEVATION a	DEPTH b	LEGEND c	CLASSIFICATION OF MATERIALS (Description) d	% CORE RECOVERY e	BOX OR SAMPLE NO f	REMARKS (Drilling rate, water loss, depth of weathering, etc., if significant) g
1297.5	0.0					Drilling Record (ft.) Top of Core
			0.0 to 1.5 ft. 1½ in. max. size aggregate		Core No. 1 145/478	
1296.17	1.33		Rebar			d.1.5
			Concrete generally well consolidated, fresh, sound. 6 in. max. size aggregate. Typically well rounded with smooth surfaces. Cement paste is gray, few scattered air voids, no noticeable reaction products or void filling material.		Core No. 2 145/479	1.7 Compression 3.4
1293.67	3.83				Core No. 3 145/480	d.4.0 4.2 Compression 5.85
			d.4.7 ft. 1½ in. air void			
1291.29	6.21		½" void			d.6.5 6.5
			No visible construction Joint at 7.0"		Core No. 4 145/481	Tension 8.2
1288.7	8.8		Bottom of Core actually 8.8 ft.			d.9.0
1288.5	9.0					Bottom of Hole

ENG FORM 1836 MAR 71 PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE (TRANSLUCENT)

PROJECT: Grand Coulee Forebay Dam HOLE NO: 116-1

B-11

Hole No. 118-2

DRILLING LOG	DIVISION	INSTALLATION: Bureau of Reclamation	SHEET 1 OF 2 SHEETS

1. PROJECT: Grand Coulee Forebay Dam
2. LOCATION (Coordinates or Station): Sta 111+43.48, Offset 20 +31.56
3. DRILLING AGENCY: Contract
4. HOLE NO. (As shown on drawing title and file number): Block 118 Hole No. 118-2
5. NAME OF DRILLER: Type of Concrete: Interior
6. DIRECTION OF HOLE: ☐ VERTICAL ☐ INCLINED _____ DEG. FROM VERT.
7. THICKNESS OF OVERBURDEN
8. DEPTH DRILLED INTO ROCK
9. TOTAL DEPTH OF HOLE: 16.03 ft.
10. SIZE AND TYPE OF BIT: 10 in.
11. DATUM FOR ELEVATION SHOWN (USBR or MSL)
12. MANUFACTURER'S DESIGNATION OF DRILL
13. TOTAL NO. OF OVER-BURDEN SAMPLES TAKEN: DISTURBED / UNDISTURBED
14. TOTAL NUMBER CORE BOXES
15. ELEVATION GROUND WATER
16. DATE HOLE: STARTED 6/24/82 COMPLETED 6/28/82
17. ELEVATION TOP OF HOLE: 1237.5
18. TOTAL CORE RECOVERY FOR BORING: %
19. SIGNATURE OF INSPECTOR

ELEVATION a	DEPTH b	LEGEND c	CLASSIFICATION OF MATERIALS (Description) d	% CORE RECOVERY e	BOX OR SAMPLE NO f	REMARKS (Drilling time, water loss, depth of weathering, etc., if significant) g
1237.5	0.0					Drilling Record (ft.) Top of core
			12 in. long tight fracture. Top 1.5' has 1½" max. size stone		Core No. 1 145/482	d.1.5
1236.0	1.5		Cement paste is gray, good condition. Concrete well consolidated, no visible reaction products although there are a few rimmed (weathering) coarse aggregate particles. Max. agg. size is 6 in. NOTE: Drilling record incomplete below d.10.0		Core No.2 145/483	1.6 Compression 3.0
1234.3	3.2				Core No. 3 145/484	3.4 d.4.0 Compression 5.1
1231.9	5.6		d.7.0 Construction joint indicated in drilling record.		Core No. 4 145/485	d.6.5
1230.5	7.0					Continued on Sheet #2

ENG FORM 1836 MAR 71 PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE (TRANSLUCENT)

PROJECT: Grand Coulee Forebay Dam HOLE NO 118-2

B-12


DRILLING LOG (Cont Sheet)
ELEVATION TOP OF HOLE
Hole No. 118-2
PROJECT
Grand Coulee Forebay Dam
INSTALLATION
Bureau of Reclamation
SHEET 2 OF 2 SHEETS
ELEVATION
DEPTH
LEGEND
CLASSIFICATION OF MATERIALS (Description)
% CORE RECOVERY
BOX OR SAMPLE NO
REMARKS (Drilling time, water loss, depth of weathering, etc. of significance)
a
b
c
d
e
f
g
Continued
1228.8
8.7
Core No. 5
d.9.0
145/486
1227.5
10.0
Apparent loss or missing core, ends do not match up
1226.77
10.73
10.73
Core No. 6
11.3
Tension
145/487
13.0
d.13.33
Core No. 7
14.0
Compression
1222.5
15.0
d.15.0 ft
Construction joing indicated on core with marking pen but is not apparent
145/488
15.7
1221.47
16.03
d.16.03
Bottom of Core
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
ENG FORM 1836-A
JUN 67
PROJECT
Grand Coulee Forebay Dam
HOLE NO.
118-2

B-13

Hole No. 119-2

DRILLING LOG	DIVISION	INSTALLATION	SHEET 1 OF 1 SHEETS
		Bureau of Reclamation	

1. PROJECT: GRAND COULEE FOREBAY DAM
2. LOCATION (Coordinates or Station): STA. 111+89.88, OFFSET 21+04.98
3. DRILLING AGENCY: Contract
4. HOLE NO. (As shown on drawing title and file number): Block 119 Hole No. 119-2
5. NAME OF DRILLER: Type of Concrete: Interior
6. DIRECTION OF HOLE: [X] VERTICAL [ ] INCLINED ____ DEG. FROM VERT.
7. THICKNESS OF OVERBURDEN
8. DEPTH DRILLED INTO ROCK
9. TOTAL DEPTH OF HOLE: 9.0 ft.
10. SIZE AND TYPE OF BIT: 10 inch
11. DATUM FOR ELEVATION SHOWN (TBM or MSL)
12. MANUFACTURER'S DESIGNATION OF DRILL
13. TOTAL NO. OF OVERBURDEN SAMPLES TAKEN: DISTURBED ____ UNDISTURBED ____
14. TOTAL NUMBER CORE BOXES
15. ELEVATION GROUND WATER
16. DATE HOLE: STARTED 7-7-82 COMPLETED 7-7-82
17. ELEVATION TOP OF HOLE: 1155.0
18. TOTAL CORE RECOVERY FOR BORING: %
19. SIGNATURE OF INSPECTOR

ELEVATION a	DEPTH b	LEGEND c	CLASSIFICATION OF MATERIALS (Description) d	% CORE RECOVERY e	BOX OR SAMPLE NO. f	REMARKS (Drilling time, water loss, depth of weathering, etc., if significant) g
1155.0	0.0					Drilling Record (ft.) Top of Core →
			0.0' to 1.5' Max. coarse agg. size 1½ in. Sound, well consolidated concrete. Cement paste is gray. Well rounded coarse aggregate particles with smooth surfaces. No visible reaction products or evidence of concrete deterioration.		CORE NO.1 145/489	0.3 2.0 Compressive
1125.50	2.5		-1/2 in. voids			d. 3.0
			- 1/2 in. voids		CORE NO.2 145/490	3.3 5.0 Compression
1149.40	5.6					d. 6.0
			d. 7.3 Large trapped air voids at bottom of large coarse aggregate particle.		CORE No. 3 145/491	6.8 7.8 Sliding friction
1146.4	8.6					d. 8.6 Bottom of Core →
1146.0	9.0		Left 0.4' in Hole			9.0 Bottom of Hole →

ENG FORM 1836 MAR 71 PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE (TRANSLUCENT)

PROJECT: GRAND COULEE FOREBAY DAM HOLE NO. 119-2

B-14

Hole No. 120-1

DRILLING LOG | DIVISION | INSTALLATION: Bureau of Reclamation | SHEET 1 OF 1 SHEETS

1. PROJECT: Grand Coulee Forebay Dam
2. LOCATION (Coordinates or Station): Stall2+40.36, Offset 20+20.33
3. DRILLING AGENCY: Contract
4. HOLE NO. (As shown on drawing title and file number): Block 120 Hole No. 120-1
5. NAME OF DRILLER: Type of Concrete: Interior
6. DIRECTION OF HOLE: ☒ VERTICAL ☐ INCLINED ____ DEG. FROM VERT.
7. THICKNESS OF OVERBURDEN
8. DEPTH DRILLED INTO ROCK
9. TOTAL DEPTH OF HOLE 9.0
10. SIZE AND TYPE OF BIT 10 in.
11. DATUM FOR ELEVATION SHOWN (USBM or MSL)
12. MANUFACTURER'S DESIGNATION OF DRILL
13. TOTAL NO. OF OVER-BURDEN SAMPLES TAKEN | DISTURBED | UNDISTURBED
14. TOTAL NUMBER CORE BOXES
15. ELEVATION GROUND WATER
16. DATE HOLE | STARTED 7/6/82 | COMPLETED 7/7/82
17. ELEVATION TOP OF HOLE 1117.5
18. TOTAL CORE RECOVERY FOR BORING %
19. SIGNATURE OF INSPECTOR

ELEVATION a	DEPTH b	LEGEND c	CLASSIFICATION OF MATERIALS (Description) d	% CORE RECOVERY e	BOX OR SAMPLE NO. f	REMARKS (Drilling time, water loss, depth of weathering, etc., if significant) g
1117.5	0.0					Drilling Record (ft.) Top of Core
			0.0 to 1.5 Ft. Max. size coarse aggregate is 1½ in.		Core No.1 145/492	0.2
			1/2 in air voids			Compression
			Well consolidated, fresh, sound concrete. Cement paste is gray. No visible reaction products or alteration. 6-in.max. size aggregate. Particle shape typically well rounded with smooth surface.			1.9
1115.3	2.2				Core No. 2 145/493	d.3.0
1113.65	3.85		d.3.85 Construction joint. Steel angle iron (1-3/4 x 1-3/4") inbedded in concrete. No honeycombing.		Core No. 3 145/494	
			d.3.85 to 5.75 Several tight fractures in this area. Concrete broke around aggregate			d.5.75
1111.75	5.75					5.9
					Core No. 4 145/495	Tension (Hit pot [illegible])
1110.4	7.1		d.7.1 Construction joint indicated in drilling record. No visible evidence in core.			7.5
1109.75	7.75		d.7.75. Top of bedrock according to drilling record.			
1109.17	8.33					8.33 Bottom of Core
1108.5	9.0					d.9.0 Bottom of Hole

ENG FORM 1836 MAR 71 PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE. (TRANSLUCENT)

PROJECT: Grand Coulee Forebay Dam | HOLE NO. 120-1

B-15

APPENDIX C

PHYSICAL TEST RESULTS

TABLE 51

SUMMARY OF RESULTS OBTAINED FROM TESTS PERFORMED ON 10-INCH-DIAMETER CONCRETE CORES
(INTERIOR AND EXTERIOR) AT 10 YEARS OF AGE

GRAND COULEE FOREBAY DAM

Core No. 1	Sta. No.	Off-Set	Elevation Top of Hole (ft)	Location in Dam	Lift in Block	Date Drilled	Depth of Core (ft)	Joint Elev.	Condition of Concrete in Core	Properties of Fresh Concrete: Max. Size Aggr. (in.)	Sand (%)	Cement/ Pozzolan lb/cu yd	Water Content lb/cu yd	Air Content (%)	Properties of Hardened Concrete: Custom Cylinders: Density (lb/cu ft)	Compressive Strength (lb/sq in): 28-Day	60-Day	90-Day	1-Year	Concrete Cores: Compressive Strength (lb/sq in)	Density (lb/cu ft)	Poisson's Ratio	Tensile Test: Density (lb/cu ft)	Strength lb/in	% Area Disbanded	Distance of Bond from Top in.	SAR Lab No.	Remarks
									Exterior Concrete																		
WGR-1-1	112+24.92	19+44.57	1311.0	Eng Dam B	1	6-7-82	8.0-11.5	-	Good Concrete	1½	34	361/119	183	4.4	150.5	4350	--	--	--	4830	5.40	.17	160				145/446	
WGR-1-2	"	"	"	"	1	"	11.3-14.8	-	Good Concrete											5390	5.80	.17	158				447	
WGR-1-3	112+22.92	19+36.57	1311.0	Eng Dam B	1	6-9-82	0.0-2.6	-	Good Concrete	1½	34	361/119	183	4.4	150.5	4350				6465	6.35	.13	151				448	Petro Exam.
WGR-1B-1	"	"	"	"	1	"	2.6-4.1																				452	
WGR-1B-2	"	"	"	"	1	"	4.1-5.6																				453	
WGR-1B-3	"	"	"	"	1	"	5.6-6.9																				449	
WGR-1B-4	"	"	"	"	1	6-10-82	5.2-6.9																				450	
WGR-1B-5	"	"	"	"	1/2	"	6.0-8.1	1304.5	Good Concrete	6	24	273/98	113	3.0	155.3	4245	--	--	--	6710	6.70	.16	158				454	Petro Exam.
WGR-1B-6	"	"	"	"	2	"	8.5-10.0		Good Concrete																		451	
WGR-1B-7	"	"	"	"	2	"	10.0-11.9		Good Concrete											5480	6.05	.14	157				455	
100-1a-1	101+09	20+26.31	1309.45	Crest of Dam	1	6-15-82	0.0-2.6	-		1½	33	431/143	201	5.4	149.3	3470	3550	--	--								456	
100-1a-2	101+09	20+26.31	1309.45	Crest of Dam	1	6-15-82	2.8-5.4	-		3	27	290/120	147	3.5	153.4	5110	--	--	--	5965	6.40	.16	155				457	
100-a-2	101+09	20+26.31	1309.45	Crest of Dam	1	6-15-82	5.4-6.8	1302.8																			458	
100-1a-3	101+09	20+26.31	1309.65	Crest of Dam	2	6-16-82	6.2-8.5	-	Good Concrete	3	28	291/122	145	3.3	156.3	5180	6670	7270	8260	6920	5.75	.19	152					
													Interior Concrete														459	
106-1-1	103+97.6	20+77.45	1317.5	Foundation Adit	27A	7-8-82	0.0-2.6	-		6	25	290/83	133	3.5	150.5	3690	--	--	--								460	
106-1-2	103+97.6	20+77.45	1317.5	"	27A	7-8-82	2.8-5.1	-	Good Concrete											5560	7.10	.13	159				461	
106-1-3	103+97.6	20+77.45	1317.5	"	27A/27B	7-8-82	5.2-8.2	1310.5	Const. Joint	6	25	290/82	125	2.5	157.1	2795	4570	--	--				155	60	75	11	462	
109-1-1	106+07.9	20+31.63	1237.5	Operation Gallery	11	6-18-82	0.0-2.3	-		6	25	285/80	127	3.8	154.8	--	--	6070	--								463	
109-1-2	106+07.9	20+31.63	1237.5	"	11	6-18-82	2.3-6.7	-												5270	5.20	.16	158				464	
109-1-3	106+07.9	20+31.62	1237.5	"	11/12	6-21-82	6.7-8.3	1230.0	Const Joint														161	65	90	2	465	
109-1-4	106+07.9	20+31.63	1237.5	"	12	6-21-82	8.7-11.3	-	Good Concrete	6	26	290/80	123	3.4	155.9	--	--	5770	--				157	140	95	3	466	
109-1-6	106+07.9	20+31.63	1237.5	"	12/13	6-21-82	13.5-15.9	1222.0																				
109-1-5	106+07.9	20+31.63	1237.5	"	13	6-21-82	15.9-17.	-	Broke around bron [illegible] at bot. [illegible] [illegible]	6	25	285/80	135	3.3	150.3	3165				3660	5.07	.14	156				467	Petro Exam.
113-1-3	108+04.2	20+31.79	1237.5	Operation Gallery	11	6-22-82	1.4-3.9		[illegible] [illegible] [illegible]	6	25	307/81	130	3.9	156.5	3430	--	--	-	4720	6.45	.14	152				468	
113-1-2	"	"	"	"	11	6-22-82	3.7-5.9	-	Good Concrete											4420	4.90	.24	156				469	
113-1-5a	"	"	"	"	11	6-23-82	5.9-7.2																				470	
113-1-5b	"	"	"	"	12	6-23-82	7.2-8.3	1230.2																			471	
113-1-3	"	"	"	"	12	6-23-82	8.7-11.3	-	Good Concrete	6	25	303/80	136	3.0	152.2	--	--	5490	--				156	80	80	1 Aug.	472	
113-1-4	"	"	"	"	12	6-23-82	11.6-14	-	Good Concrete																		473	
113-1a-1	108+06.14	20+31.79	1237.5	Operation Gallery	11	6-23-82	0.0-1.4													5020	4.99	.16	155				474	
										6	25	307/81	121	3.9	156.5	3430	-	-	-									

1 First No. is block number in dam, second is Hole No., third is Core No.
2 All cores drilled vertically.
3 E - Extra (no Core No.)

TABLE 21 (CONTINUED)

SUMMARY OF RESULTS OBTAINED FROM TESTS PERFORMED ON 10-INCH-DIAMETER CONCRETE CORES
(INTERIOR AND EXTERIOR) AT 10 YEARS OF AGE

GRAND COULEE FOURTH DAM

Core No.	Sta. No.	Off-Set	Elevation Top of Hole (ft)	Location in Dam	Lift in Block	Date Drilled	Depth of Core (ft)	Joint Elev.	Condition of Concrete in Core	Properties of Fresh Concrete: Max. Size Aggr. (in.)	Sand (%)	Cement/ Pozzolan lb/cu yd	Water Content, (lb/yd³)	Air Content (%)	Properties of Hardened Concrete: Control Cylinders: Density (lb/yd³)	Compressive Strength (lb/in²): 28-Day	90-Day	180-Day	1-Year	Concrete Cores: Compressive Strength (lb/in²)	Splitting Tensile Strength, f x 10³ (lb/in²)	Poisson's Ratio	Modulus of Elasticity (10⁶ lb/in²)	Tensile Test: Strength lb/in.²	% Area Distended	Distance from Top of Core, in.	Lab No.	Remarks
113-1a-2	108+06.14	20+21.79	1237.5	Inspection Gallery	11	6-24-82	3.3-3.6	--												5260	4.80	.15	1.51				145/475	
113-1a-3	"	"	"	"	11	6-24-82	3.6-5.0	--	Good Concrete											4470	5.00	.23	1.56				476	
113-1a-4	108+06.14	20+31.79	1237.5	"	11	6-24-82	6.7-7.0	--																			477
116-1-1	110+01.88	20+18.60	1297.50	Gate Chamber Gallery	3	6-27-82	0.0-1.3	--		6	24	230/97	131	3.0	157.9	4850											478
116-1-2	"	"		"	3	6-30-82	1.3-3.3	--	Spoke around large pieces of aggr.											4980	6.72	0.25	157				479	
116-1-3	"	"		"	3	7-1-82	3.8-6.3	--	Good Concrete											5540	5.91	0.21	155				480	
116-1-4	"	"		"	3/4	7-2-82	6.3-8.8	1290.4	Constr. Joint	6	25	189/79	126	3.0	153.9	4110							1.53	260	50	1.0	481	
118-2-1	111+83.40	20+31.56	1237.5	Inspection Gallery	11	6-24-82	0.0-1.3	--		6	25	186/81	127	3.7	154.8	--	--	5280	--								482
118-2-2	"	"	"	"	11	6-24-82	1.3-3.7	--	Good Concrete											4940	6.20	0.22	158				483	
118-2-3	"	"	"	"	11	6-25-82	3.2-5.3	--	Good Concrete											5040	5.80	0.11	158				484	
118-2-4	"	"	"	"	11/12	6-25-82	5.6-8.7	1230.4																			485
118-2-5	"	"	"	"	12	6-25-82	8.7-10.0	--		6	26	191/82	130	3.1	156.0	--	--	6260	--								486
118-2-6	"	"	"	"	12	6-25-82	10.7-13.2	--	Voids at top of lift and some sulfur														1.59	200	40	1.0	487	
118-2-7	"	"	"	"	12	6-25-82	13.2-15.0	1222.4	large aggregate											5680	6.58	0.22	162				488	Petro Exam.
119-2-1	111+69.88	21+04.97	1155.0	Turnbay Dwr. Operating Gal.	22	7-2-82	0.0-2.5	--	Large aggregate particle near top	6	24	225/94	123	1.9	158.4	6890	6385	7770	8210	4970	5.62	0.21	152				489	
119-2-2	"	"	"	Turnbay Dwr. Operating Gal.	22	7-2-82	2.5-5.0	--	Large aggregate particle near top											4980	5.90	0.20	157				490	
119-2-3	"	"	"	"	22/23	7-7-82	5.6-8.6	1147.4		6	24	254/65	135	2.5	154.1	4790	6430	7055	8160				158				491	Returned for Sliding Friction
120-1-1	112+40.36	20+20.33	1117.50	Turnbay Dwr. Drainage Gal.	27	7-6-82	0.0-2.2	--	Mostly strings fl-14 aggregate	6	25	189/83	137	2.4	156.4	3450	--	--	--	4790	5.76	0.19	151				492	
120-1-2	"	"	"	"	27	7-6-82	2.2-3.9	--																			493
120-1-3	"	"	"	"	27	7-6-82	3.9-5.8	--																			494
120-1-4	"	"	"	"	27	7-7-82	5.8-8.3	1110.4	Abstract of bottom of core														157				495	Cracked at joint Returned for Sliding Friction

APPENDIX D

CURSORY PETROGRAPHIC REPORTS

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
SOUTH ATLANTIC DIVISION LABORATORY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
611 SOUTH COBB DRIVE
MARIETTA, GEORGIA 30060-3112

CURSORY PETROGRAPHIC EXAMINATION

PROJECT: Grand Coulee Forebay Dam
BORING NO. WDB-1 Block
TYPE CONCRETE: Exterior
COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH:(PSI) 4830
SAD LABORATORY NO. 145/446
DEPTH (FT.) 92 -10.9 Core No. 1

CONE



REMARKS:

Explosive break. Broke across large 6 in. aggregate particle (see photograph). Trapped air voids up to 3/8" in. visible in sockets of large aggregate particles. Cement matrix appears normal. No visible reaction products. Bond failure typical around larger coarse aggregate particles, however, many of the smaller coarse aggregate particles broke through. Percent of fractured particles estimated to be between 5-10%. Aggregate particles greater than 3 in. represent about 30% of total area of fracture surface.
Coarse aggregate typically well rounded with smooth surfaces.

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
SOUTH ATLANTIC DIVISION LABORATORY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
611 SOUTH COBB DRIVE
MARIETTA, GEORGIA 30060-3112

CURSORY PETROGRAPHIC EXAMINATION

PROJECT: Grand Coulee Forebay Dam
BORING NO. WDB-1 BLOCK
TYPE CONCRETE: Exterior
COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH: (PSI) 5390
SAD LABORATORY NO. 145/447
DEPTH (FT.) 12.9-14.6 CORE NO. 2

SHEAR

REMARKS:

Explosive break. Well consolidated. No honeycombing or excessive trapped air. Cement matrix normal, no visible reaction products or deterioration. 10-15% coarse aggregate particles (1-1½ in.) broke across. 10% of coarse aggregate pieces larger than 3 in. Coarse aggregate typically well rounded with smooth surfaces. Few subangular pieces.

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
SOUTH ATLANTIC DIVISION LABORATORY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
611 SOUTH COBB DRIVE
MARIETTA, GEORGIA 30060-3112

CURSORY PETROGRAPHIC EXAMINATION

PROJECT: Grand Coulee Forebay Dam
BORING NO: WDB-1 BLOCK
TYPE CONCRETE: Exterior
COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH (PSI) 6665
SAD LABORATORY NO. 145/448
DEPTH (FT.) 0.8-2.5 CORE NO. 3

REMARKS:

Explosive break. Relatively good bonding characteristics - abundance of particles broken through (estimate 40%). Few aggregate pieces larger than 1 inch. Cement matrix appears normal - no visible reaction products. No pieces larger than 3 in. Coarse aggregate typically well rounded with smooth surfaces.



CONE

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
SOUTH ATLANTIC DIVISION LABORATORY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
611 SOUTH COBB DRIVE
MARIETTA, GEORGIA 30060-3112

CURSORY PETROGRAPHIC EXAMINATION

PROJECT: Grand Coulee Forebay Dam
BORING NO. WDB-1b BLOCK
TYPE CONCRETE: Exterior
COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH (PSI) 5480
SAD LABORATORY NO. 145/451
DEPTH (FT.) 10.1 - 11.6 CORE NO. 5

REMARKS:

Explosive break. A few large 6 in. pieces. Break occured through a 6 in. quartzite aggregate as well as around other large ones. Nature of break dictated by larger aggregate pieces. About 25% of pieces larger than 3 in.-about 10% broken through. Cement matrix normal. No reactions or signs of deterioration. Coarse aggregate typically well rounded with smooth surface texture.

SHEAR SPLIT

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
SOUTH ATLANTIC DIVISION LABORATORY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
611 SOUTH COBB DRIVE
MARIETTA, GEORGIA 30060-3112

CURSORY PETROGRAPHIC EXAMINATION

PROJECT: Grand Coulee Forebay Dam
BORING NO. WDB-1b BLOCK
TYPE CONCRETE: Exterior
COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH (PSI) 4710
SAD LABORATORY NO. 145/454
DEPTH (Ft.) 8.3-9.7 CORE NO. "Extra"

CONE SHEAR

REMARKS:

Explosive break. Cement matrix normal, no reactions or excessive voids in sockets. Particles greater than 3 in. represent about 15% of expcsed surface. About 10% of coarse aggregate pieces broken through. Coarse aggregate shape is well rounded with smooth surfaces.

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
SOUTH ATLANTIC DIVISION LABORATORY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
611 SOUTH COBB DRIVE
MARIETTA, GEORGIA 30060-3112

CURSORY PETROGRAPHIC EXAMINATION

PROJECT: Grand Coulee Forebay Dam
BORING NO. 100-1a BLOCK: 100
TYPE CONCRETE: Exterior
COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH (PSI) 4920
SAD LABORATORY NO. 145/458
DEPTH (Ft.) 6.4-8.0 CORE NO. 3

REMARKS:

Explosive break. Well rounded coarse aggregate 1½ in. maximum size. Break occured mostly around particles. Only about 5% broke through. No coarse aggregate particles over 3 in. in size. Cement matrix normal, no excessive trapped air voids or reaction products noted.



CONE SHEAR

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
SOUTH ATLANTIC DIVISION LABORATORY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
611 SOUTH COBB DRIVE
MARIETTA, GEORGIA 30060-3112

CURSORY PETROGRAPHIC EXAMINATION

PROJECT: Grand Coulee Forebay Dam
BORING NO. 106-1 BLOCK 106
TYPE CONCRETE: Exterior
COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH (PSI) 5560
SAD LABORATORY NO. 145/460
DEPTH (Ft.) 3.4-5.0 CORE NO. 2

REMARKS:

Explosive break. Coarse aggregate well rounded with smooth surfaces. Maximum size up to 3 in. Cement matrix normal, no excessive air in sockets, no visible reaction products or deterioration. About 10% of coarse aggregate pieces broke through. Only about 5% are 3 inches in size or larger.



CONE SHEAR

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
SOUTH ATLANTIC DIVISION LABORATORY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
611 SOUTH COBB DRIVE
MARIETTA, GEORGIA 30060-3112

CURSORY PETROGRAPHIC EXAMINATION

PROJECT: Grand Coulee Forebay Dam
BORING NO. 106-1 BLOCK 106
TYPE CONCRETE: Interior
TENSILE STRENGTH (PSI) 60
SAD LABORATORY NO. 145/461
DEPTH (Ft.) 6.0-7.7 CORE NO 3

REMARKS:

This core contains several large coarse aggregate particles which dictated break as shown in the photograph below. Note trapped air voids in sockets (right piece in photograph is botton segment). Cement matrix looks normal. Less than 1% of aggregate broke through. Pieces over 3 in. in size make up about 40% of exposed surface. Coarse aggregate typically rounded with smooth surfaces.



TENSION BREAK.

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
SOUTH ATLANTIC DIVISION LABORATORY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
611 SOUTH COBB DRIVE
MARIETTA, GEORGIA 30060-3112

CURSORY PETROGRAPHIC EXAMINATION

PROJECT: Grand Coulee Forebay Dam
BORING NO. 109-1 BLOCK 109
TYPE CONCRETE: Interior
COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH (PSI) 5270
SAD LABORATORY NO. 145/463
DEPTH(Ft.) 2.5-4.2 CORE NO. 2

REMARKS:

Explosive break. Only about 2% of coarse aggregate particles broke through. About 15% of pieces are 3 in. or greater in size. Two large sockets remained in the intact core after testing. These did not have excessive trapped air voids. Cement matrix appears normal. No reaction products or signs of deterioration noted. Coarse aggregate pieces generally well rounded with smooth surfaces.



CONE SHEAR

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
SOUTH ATLANTIC DIVISION LABORATORY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
611 SOUTH COBB DRIVE
MAIRETTA, GEORGIA 30060-3112

CURSORY PETROGRAPHIC EXAMINATION

PROJECT: Grand Coulee Forebay Dam
BORING NO. 145/464 BLOCK: 109
TYPE CONCRETE: Interior
TENSILE STRENGTH (PSI) 45
SAD LABORATORY No. 145/464
DEPTH (Ft.) 6.2-7.9 CORE No. 3



REMARKS:

This core broke in tension close to the bottom where 1½ to plus 3 in. aggregate pieces were numerous. Numerous sockets left by the rounded coarse aggregate particles appear in the photograph below. Note moderate amount of trapped air voids and numerous rounded pieces. Only one aggregate particles broke. The cement matrix appears normal, no reaction products were noted. The voids were clean. About 50% of fracture surface appears to be 3 in. or larger.

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
SOUTH ATLANTIC DIVISION LABORATORY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
611 SOUTH COBB DRIVE
MARIETTA, GEORGIA 30060-3112

CURSORY PETROGRAPHIC EXAMINATION

PROJECT: Grand Coulee Forebay Dam
BORING NO. 109-1 BLOCK 109
TYPE CONCRETE: Interior
COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH (PSI) 140
SAD LABORATORY NO. 145/465
DEPTH (Ft.) 9.0-11.0 CORE NO. 4

REMARKS:

Core pulled apart about 0.4 ft. from bottom. Only a small percent (∿2) of the coarse aggregate pieces broke apart in the test. About 20% of the coarse aggregate appears to be 3 in. or larger (see photograph). Core piece on right in photograph is the bottom portion. Note small amount of trapped air voids in sockets (this would be the bottom of the coarse aggregate pieces). Since more matrix and a greater quantity of smaller particles are present, the tensile strength is higher than most of the cores tested in tension.



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
SOUTH ATLANTIC DIVISION LABORATORY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
611 SOUTH COBB DRIVE
MARIETTA, GEORGIA 30060-3112

CURSORY PETROGRAPHIC EXAMINATION

PROJECT: Grand Coulee Forebay Dam
BORING NO. 109-1 BLOCK 109
TYPE CONCRETE: Interior
COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH (PSI) 3660
SAD LABORATORY NO. 145/467
DEPTH (Ft.) 16.0-17.7 CORE NO. 5

REMARKS:

Explosive break. Bond failure around larger coarse aggregate particles common (see photograph). On fracture surface these represent about 15% of the surface area. Trapped air voids common but not excessive. When present they are clean. Cement matrix looks normal - no reaction or alteration products noted. Percent of fractured coarse aggregate particles small (about 2%).



CONE SHEAR

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
SOUTH ATLANTIC DIVISION LABORATORY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
611 SOUTH COBB DRIVE
MARIETTA, GEORGIA 30060-3112

CURSORY PETROGRAPHIC EXAMINATION

PROJECT: Grand Coulee Forebay Dam
BORING NO. 113-1 BLOCK 113
TYPE CONCRETE: Interior
COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH (PSI) 4720
SAD LABROATORY NO. 145/468
DEPTH (Ft.) 17.7-3.4 CORE NO. 1*

REMARKS:

Explosive break. About 10% of coarse aggregate pieces broke across (sheared) during test. There were no pieces 3 in. or larger in size. Coarse aggregate well rounded with smooth surfaces. Cement matrix looks normal. No reaction or alteration products noted. Voids are clean.

* labeled No. 2 on core in error.



CONE SHEAR

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
SOUTH ATLANTIC DIVISION LABORATORY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
611 SOUTH COBB DRIVE
MARIETTA, GEORGIA 30060-3112

CURSORY PETROGRAPHIC EXAMINATION

PROJECT: Grand Coulee Forebay Dam
BORING NO. 113-1 BLOCK: 113
TYPE CONCRETE: Interior
COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH (PSI) 4420
SAD LABORATORY NO. 145/469
DEPTH (Ft) 4.2 - 5.7 CORE No. 2

REMARKS:

Explosive break. Very small percent (5%) of broken coarse aggregate particles. Aggregate 3 in. or larger represents about 10% of fracture surfaces. Cement matrix appears normal - no visible reaction or deterioration products. No excessive voids but when present they are clean. Coarse aggregate is typically well rounded with smooth surface.



CONE

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
SOUTH ATLANTIC DIVISION LABORATORY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
611 SOUTH COBB DRIVE
MARIETTA, GEORGIA 30060-3112

CURSORY PETROGRAPHIC EXAMINATION

PROJECT: Grand Coulee Forebay Dam
BORING NO. 113-1 BLOCK 113
TYPE CONCRETE: Interior
TENSILE STRENGTH (PSI) 80
SAD LABORATORY NO. 145/472
DEPTH (Ft.) 9.2 - 10.9 CORE NO. 3



REMARKS:

Core contains an abundance of large (> 3 in.) coarse aggregate particles. Core broke in tension at bottom about 1-2 in. from steel plate. The photograph shows areas of epoxy failure and abundance of large aggregate. Large aggregate particles cover about 40% of fracture surface. Note yellow brown weathered particle and smooth sockets in photograph.

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
SOUTH ATLANTIC DIVISION LABORATORY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
611 SOUTH COBB DRIVE
MARIETTA, GEORGIA 30060-3112

CURSOTY PETROGRAPHIC EXAMINATION

PROJECT: Grand Coulee Forebay Dam
BORING NO. 113-1 BLOCK 113
TYPE CONCRETE : Interior
COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH (PSI) 5020
SAD LABORATORY NO. 145/473
DEPTH (Ft.) 12.0 to 13.7 CORE NO. 4

REMARKS:

Explosive break. Some large coarse aggregate particles (about 15%) are present. These left smooth sockets. Air voids were not abundant but when present they were clean and free of reaction products. Only about 5% of the coarse aggregate particles broke (sheared) during the test. Cement matrix appears normal.

CONE

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
SOUTH ATLANTIC DIVISION LABORATORY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
611 SOUTH COBB DRIVE
MARIETTA, GEORGIA 30060-3112

CURSORY PETROGRAPHIC EXAMINATION

PROJECT: Grand Coulee Forebay Dam
BORING NO. 113-1a BLOCK 113
TYPE CONCRETE: Interior
COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH (PSI) 5260
SAD LABORATORY NO. 145/475
DEPTH(Ft.) 1.7 to 3.4 CORE NO. 2

REMARKS:

Explosive break. Well consolidated, sound concrete. Cement matrix appear normal. No evidence of reaction products or concrete deterioration. About 5% of coarse aggregate is 3 in. or larger. About 10% of the exposed aggregate are fractured or sheared. Few angular coarse aggregate particles but most are well rounded with smooth surfaces.



CONE

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
SOUTH ATLANTIC DIVISION LABORATORY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
611 SOUTH COBB DRIVE
MARIETTA, GEORGIA 30060-3112

CURSORY PETROGRAPHIC EXAMINATION

PROJECT : Grand Coulee Forebay Dam
BORING NO. 113-1a BLOCK 113
TYPE CONCRETE: Interior
COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH (PSI) 4470
SAD LABORATORY NO. 145/476
DEPTH (Ft.) 3.9 to 5.4 CORE NO. 3

REMARKS:

Explosive break. Sound well consolidated concrete. No evidence of concrete deterioration or reaction products. Cement matrix appears normal. Aggregate well rounded with smooth surfaces. Pieces 3 in. or larger represent about 20% of exposed fracture furface. About 5% of aggregate (all sizes) particles sheared.



SHEAR

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
SOUTH ATLANTIC DIVISION LABORATORY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
611 SOUTH COBB DRIVE
MARIETTA, GEORGIA 30060-3112

CURSORY PETROGRAPHIC EXAMINATION

PROJECT: Grand Coulee Forebay Dam
BORING NO. 116-1 BLOCK 116
TYPE CONCRETE: Interior
COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH (PSI) 4980
SAD LABORATORY NO. 145/479
DEPTH (Ft.) 1.7 to 3.4 CORE No. 2

REMARKS:

Explosive break. Numerous large sockets on intact core. Aggregate pieces 3 in. or larger make up about 25% of fracture surfaces. These are typically well rounded in shape. Only about 2% of the aggregate broke (sheared) during the test. The cement matrix appears normal. No gel or deterioration noted. Note smooth sockets on core outer surfaces.



CONE

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
SOUTH ATLANTIC DIVISION LABORATORY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
611 SOUTH COBB DRIVE
MARIETTA, GEORGIA 30060-3112

CURSORY PETROGRAPHIC EXAMINATION

PROJECT: Grand Coulee Forebay Dam
BORING NO. 116-1 BLOCK: 116
TYPE CONCRETE: Interior
COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH (PSI) 5540
SAD LABORATORY NO. 145/480
DEPTH (Ft.) 4.2 to 5.3 CORE NO. 3

REMARKS:

Explosive break. About 10% of coarse aggregate 3 in. or larger. Aggregate shape is well rounded. Aggregate surfaces are typically smooth. Few subangular particles. About 2% of particles sheared in test. Cement matrix appears normal. No excessive air or trapped air around aggregate. Concrete well consolidated.

CONE

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
SOUTH ATLANTIC DIVISION LABORATORY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
611 SOUTH COBB DRIVE
MARIETTA, GEORGIA 30060-3112

CURSORY PETROGRAPHIC EXAMINATION

PROJECT: Grand Coulee Forebay Dam
BORING NO. 116-1 BLOCK 116
TYPE CONCRETE: Interior
TENSILE STRENGTH (PSI) 260
SAD LABORATORY NO. 145/481
DEPTH (FT.) 6.5 to 8.2 CORE NO. 4

REMARKS:

Core broke in tension near bottom where attached to steel plate. Reddish brown area in photograph is where the epoxy-concrete bond failed. Core contains an abundance of 1½ in. and smaller aggregate particles. About 10% of aggregate sheared in test. On fracture surface one 3 in. piece of aggregate is exposed. This piece makes up about 10% of surface area. No excessive air in sockets- Cement matrix appears normal.

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
SOUTH ATLANTIC DIVISION LABORATORY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
611 SOUTH COBB DRIVE
MARIETTA, GEORGIA 30060-3112

CURSORY PETROGRAPHIC EXAMINATION

PROJECT: Grand Coulee Forebay Dam
BORING NO. 118-2 BLOCK 118
TYPE CONCRETE: Interior
COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH (PSI) 4940
SAD LABORATORY NO. 145/483
DEPTH (Ft.) 1.6 to 3.0 CORE No. 2

REMARKS:

Explosive break. General trend to break around larger coarse aggregate particles. Sockets have a moderate amount of trapped air voids. Note large sockets in photograph. Estimate about 15% of aggregate is 3 in. or larger. Cement matrix appears normal. No gel exduations or concrete deterioration noted. Sheared broken aggregate is about 10% of aggregate pcs.



CONE

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
SOUTH ATLANTIC DIVISION LABORATORY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
611 SOUTH COBB DRIVE
MARIETTA, GEORGIA 30060-3112

CURSORY PETROGRAPHIC EXAMINATION

PROJECT: Grand Coulee Forebay Dam
BORING NO. 118-2 BLOCK 118
TYPE CONCRETE: Interior
COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH (PSI) 5040
SAD LABORATORY NO. 145/484
DEPTH(Ft.) 3.4 to 5.1 CORE NO. 3

REMARKS:

Explosive break. Core contains an abundance of 1½ in. to 3 in. coarse aggregate particles. These are usually well rounded with smooth surfaces. About 15% of the aggregate exceed 3 in. in size on fracture surfaces. Sheared, fractured pieces are about 10%. Cement matrix normal - no signs of deterioration or chemical reactions (e.g.,siliceous gel, etc.)



CONE

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
SOUTH ATLANTIC DIVISION LABORATORY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
611 SOUTH COBB DRIVE
MARIETTA, GEORGIA 30060-3112

CURSORY PETROGRAPHIC EXAMINATION

PROJECT: Grand Coulee Forebay Dam
BORING NO. 118-2 BLOCK 118
TYPE CONCRETE: Interior
TENSILE STRENGTH (PSI) 200
SAD LABORATORY NO. 145/487
DEPTH (Ft.) 11.3 to 13.0 CORE NO. 6

REMARKS:

Epoxy - concrete bond failure occured near bottom of core where attached to steel plate. Reddish brown area is the epoxy on steel plate (see photograph below). One large coarse aggregate piece on fracture surface covers about 10% of area. Only about 2% of the aggregate broke across. Sockets contain a few trapped air voids. Cement matrix appear normal. No exudations or signs of deterioration.



Shaded area remained glued to bottom plate.

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
SOUTH ATLANTIC DIVISION LABORATORY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
611 SOUTH COBB DRIVE
MARIETTA, GEORGIA 30060-3112

CURSORY PETROGRAPHIC EXAMINATION

PROJECT: Grand Coulee Forebay Dam
BORING NO. 118-2 BLOCK 118
TYPE CONCRETE: Interior
COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH (PSI) 5680
SAD LABORATORY NO. 145/488
DEPTH (FT.) 14.0 to 15.7 CORE No. 7

REMARKS:

Explosive break. Some large (about 20%) coarse aggregate pieces are present. Break occured around most of these but also through one 4 in piece. Sheared broken pieces represent about 15% of total aggregate exposed. Some sockets contain moderate amounts of trapped air voids. All are clean, that is, they have the color of normal cement. No signs of concrete deterioration.



CONE SHEAR

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
SOUTH ATLANTIC DIVISION LABORATORY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
611 SOUTH COBB DRIVE
MARIETTA, GEORGIA 30060-3112

CURSORY PETROGRAPHIC EXAMINATION

PROJECT: Grand Coulee Forebay Dam
BORING NO. 119-2 BLOCK 119
TYPE CONCRETE: Interior
COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH: 4970
SAD LABORATORY No. 145/489
DEPTH (Ft.) 0.3 to 2.0 CORE No. 1*

REMARKS:

Explosive break. Core sheared about 68° from the horizontal. Large coarse aggregate at top dictated break. Concrete is sound and well consolidated. Matrix appears normal-no excessive air. Percent of sheared, broken aggregate about 2%; pieces 3 in. or larger make up about 5% of exposed fracture surface.

*Extra Core.

SHEAR SPLIT

DEPARTMENT OF THE AMRY
SOUTH ATLANTIC DIVISION LABORATORY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
611 SOUTH COBB DRIVE
MARIETTA, GEORGIA 30060-3112

CURSORY PETROGRAPHIC EXAMINATION

PROJECT: Grand Coulee Forebay Dam
BORING NO. 119-2 BLOCK 119
TYPE CONCRETE: Interior
COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH (PSI) 4980
SAD LABORATORY NO. 145/490
DEPTH (Ft.) 3.3 to 5.0 CORE NO. 2

REMARKS:

Explosive break. Large coarse aggregate at top appears to have dictated fracture pattern. 15-20% of exposed aggregate is 3 in. or more in size. It is estimated that 30% of the aggregate sheared or broke across particle. Aggregates well rounded with smooth surfaces. Cement matrix appears normal - no excessive trapped air or signs of deterioration.



CONE SHEAR

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
SOUTH ATLANTIC DIVISION LABORATORY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
611 SOUTH COBB DRIVE
MARIETTA, GEORGIA 30060-3112

CURSORY PETROGRAPHIC EXAMINATION

PROJECT: Grand Coulee Forebay Dam
BORING No. 120-1 BLOCK 120
TYPE CONCRETE: Interior
COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH (PSI) 4790
SAD LABORATORY NO. 145/492
DEPTH (Ft.) 0.2 to 1.9 CORE NO. 1

REMARKS:

Explosive break. This core contains abundant aggregate 1½ in. and below. Only a few larger than 1½ in. None 3 in. or larger. About 2% of aggregate broke across or sheared during test. Aggregates generally subangular to well round. No signs of concrete deterioration or gel exudations. Concrete sound.

SHEAR

APPENDIX E

REPORT OF PETROGRAPHIC ANALYSIS

SADEN-FL 25 October 1984

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
SOUTH ATLANTIC DIVISION LABORATORY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
611 SOUTH COBB DRIVE
MARIETTA, GEORGIA 30060

PETROGRAPHIC REPORT
GRAND COULEE FOREBAY DAM, WASHINGTON
10-Year Core Study

Project: Grand Coulee Forebay Dam

Performed For: Concrete and Structural Branch
Engineering and Research Center
Bureau of Reclamation

SAD Work Order No. 3710
SAD Lab. No. 145/448, 454, 467, 488
Interagency Agreement D-1511

SUMMARY

The concrete from two interior and two exterior concrete cores used in the unconfined compression tests and examined in this study appeared to be of good quality. The coarse and fine aggregates are sound and dense for the most part although the coarse aggregate is typically well-rounded in shape with smooth surfaces. Mode of failure in the compression tests was usually paste-aggregate bond failure. The low strengths recorded in the compression tests were usually due to bond failure around large coarse aggregate particles.

No evidence of chemical attack or alkali-silica reaction between aggregate and cement was found.

Prepared by: Ray Willingham Reviewed by: Lane Tison

INTRODUCTION

1. This is the report of the petrographic analyses conducted on four ten-inch (255 mm) diameter concrete core specimens from Grand Coulee Forebay Dam, Grand Coulee, Washington. This examination is part of the ten year concrete evaluation program being conducted by this laboratory in connection with an interagency agreement (D-1511) between the Bureau of Reclamation* and U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, South Atlantic Division Laboratory.

2. The four cores examined represent mass concrete from the interior and exterior concrete as stipulated in the interagency agreement. These are identified in Table 1 below:

TABLE 1

SPECIMENS SELECTED FOR PETROGRAPHIC ANALYSES

SAD Lab No.	Bureau Designation	Location	Depth (ft.)	Type of Concrete (Exterior or Interior)
145/448	WDB-1b, Core No. 3	Sta. 112+42.92 Offset 19+36.57	0.8-2.5	Exterior
145/454	WDB-1b, Extra Core	Sta. 112+42.92 Offset 19+36.57	8.3-9.7	Exterior
145/467	109-1, Block 109, Core No. 5	Sta. 106+07.91 Offset 20+31.63	16.0-17.7	Interior
145/488	118-2, Block 118, Core No. 7	Sta. 111+43.48 Offset 20+31.56	14.0-15.7	Interior

*Engineering and Research Center, Division of Research

3. The concrete cores listed in Table 1 were selected based on their performance in the unconfined compressive (UC) tests. Concrete cores with the highest and lowest compressive strengths representative of both exterior and interior concrete were examined. For example, specimen 145/448 had the highest UC strength whereas 145/454 had the lowest of all the exterior concrete cores tested. A total of 28 concrete cores were tested. It should be noted that only seven cores representative of exterior concrete were used in the UC tests whereas 16 interior concrete cores were tested in compression. Six cores were also tested in tensile strength. The inequity in number is due essentially to a lack of exterior concrete submitted in the original sample.

PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF EXAMINATION

4. The primary purpose of this study was to describe in detail the concrete cores tested in UC and listed in Table 1 using petrographic techniques proposed in ASTM C 856*. Secondary in importance was to determine if variations in the compressive strengths were the result of concrete quality (e.g., excessive air, alkali-silica reaction, etc.).

*Standard Recommended Practice for "Petrographic Examination of Hardened Concrete".

5. To accomplish the above, the following techniques were employed:

Visual Examination
Stereomicroscopic Examination
Thin Section/Grain Mount Study (Polarizing Microscope)
X-Ray Diffraction Analysis

DETAILED FINDINGS

Coarse Aggregate

6. The coarse aggregate used in this structure is from natural river deposits. These are typically clean, hard, dense, well rounded coarse aggregate particles with smooth surfaces. Maximum size of aggregate was 6-inches (152 mm). Most are fresh although a few weathered and surface weathered pieces were noted. Often the weathering appears as thin light or dark rims on particle surfaces.

7. A wide variety of igneous and volcanic rock types make up the bulk of the aggregate, however, dark gray to black rock particles are more prevalent. These are glassy basalts which are typically dense, sound rocks. However, a few vesicular types are present. Other rock types noted were granite, quartzite, gneiss and some sedimentary varieties.

8. The coarse aggregate being essentially round in shape tends to separate from the cement paste when tested to failure in

compression, that is, the concrete core broke around the coarse aggregate particles more often than through them. This was the general trend especially when large aggregate pieces were present in the test specimens. However, there were several exceptions. Aggregate sockets contained some trapped air voids but these were not excessive in quantity or size. The smaller aggregate particles broke through more frequently. This usually resulted in higher strengths for these cores with higher percentages of smaller particles.

9. The concrete cores do not appear to have an abundance of a particular size range, that is, there was no deficiency of sizes. This is also true of the concrete cores as a whole. The interior concrete cores contained aggregate pieces up to six inches (152 mm) in size. Maximum size of coarse aggregate in exterior concrete was typically 1½-inches (38 mm). However, specimen WDB-1b (d. 8.3-9.7) contained a large coarse aggregate piece approximately five inches in size*. In general, grading of coarse aggregate appeared normal. There was no honeycombing or excessive trapped air voids surrounding coarse aggregate particles. A summary of the concrete core UC strengths and general mode of failure appears in Table 2.

10. From the petrographic viewpoint a couple of coarse aggregate

*Maximum size of coarse aggregate in exterior concrete is reported to be 1½-inch.

TABLE 2
SUMMARY OF CONCRETE COMPRESSIVE STRENGTHS
AND MODES OF FAILURE

Bureau Designation	SAD Lab No.	Compressive Strength (PSI)	Type Concrete	Nature of Break	Features Associated with Failure
Hole WDB-1b, Core No. 3 (d. 0.8' to 2.5')	145/448	6665	Exterior	Explosive Break, cone-shaped.	Relatively good bonding-abundance of particles broken through. Few aggregate pieces larger than 1-inch.
Hole WDB-1b, Extra Core (d. 8.3' to 9.7')	145/454	4710	Exterior	Explosive Break, cone-shear.	Bond failure - broke around large coarse aggregate particles. 10 - 15% of particles broken through. Abundance of 1½-inch and down (coarse aggregate pieces). No excessive air in sockets.
Hole 109-1, Block 109 Core No. 5 (d. 16.0' to 17.7')	145/467	3660	Interior	Explosive Break, cone shear.	Bond failure - numerous sockets - broke around most of larger particles. Low strength due to bond failure around 6-inch stone near center of core.
Hole 118-2, Block 118 Core No. 7 (d. 14.0' to 15.7')	145/488	5680	Interior	Explosive Break, cone shear.	Generally good bond. Broke across some large coarse aggregate particles. No excessive air in sockets.

rock characteristics were noted that warrant mentioning. One was the presence of natural glass in the basalts. These rocks contain an abundance of brown natural glass. The index of refraction was found to be less than 1.57. Glasses, having an index of refraction lower than 1.57, are considered potentially reactive with "high alkali" portland cement. Also in recent years rocks containing strained quartz (quartz grains exhibiting undulose extinction in polarized microscopic light have been recognized as potentially alkali-silica reactive. Dolar-Mantuani's[1] technique for measuring the extinction angle is used. A few rock particles were noted during the thin section study that contained strained quartz (e.g., the quartzites). No extinction angles were recorded since an insignificant number of particles were observed. Since low alkali cement was used in this structure, strained quartz should not be a problem.

11. Both of the characteristics mentioned often warrant the use of low-alkali and/or a pozzolan according to our criteria. Since Type II low-alkali cement and Class F pozzolan were used in the structure, it was interesting to note that no reactions (formation of alkali-silica gel, rimming, etc.) were noted in this ten year old concrete.

---

[1] Dolar-Mantuani, L.M.M., "Undulatory Extincion in Quartz Used for Identifying Potentially Alkali-Reactive Rocks," Proceedings of Conference on Alakli-Aggregate Reaction in Concrete, Capetown, S. Africa, 1981, paper No. S252/36, 6pp.

12. In paragraph 6 the presence of dark rims on coarse aggregate particles was mentioned. This feature was noted on a few coarse aggregate particles. Rims can be an indication of alkali-silica reaction if it can be assumed with some degree of accuracy that they formed after placement of the concrete. Rims can also be a result of weathering prior to placement. The rimmed particle shown in Figure 1 is the result of oxidation of the iron bearing carbonate mineral (probably ankerite) which is scattered throughout this particular rock particle.

Fine Aggregate

13. The fine aggregate particles appear to be essentially from natural sources. Rock types noted in the coarse aggregate examination also make up the bulk of the fine aggregate population. Individual mineral grains (e.g., quartz and feldspar) make up a significant portion of these grains. Shape varies from round to angular and in general the fine aggregate particles are clean, sound, and fresh. Distribution and grading appear satisfactory.

Cement Paste

14. The cement paste or matrix is uniformly gray and in general good quality. There was no evidence of mottling or gradational color changes. Slight concentrations of calcium hydroxide were noted along the undersides of a few coarse and fine aggregate

particles which is considered normal. Relict cement grains were noted in the stereoscopic examination along with dark spheres (flyash) and other residual grains. Examination of several concrete thin sections revealed the presence of partially hydrated cement grains (alite, C_3S and belite, C_2S), flyash (hollow and solid spheres), scattered calcium hydroxide crystals and some calcium carbonate. X-ray diffraction analysis of cement concentrates indicated a number of normal compounds present. Besides the constituents noted in thin section, a few normal compounds, mainly hydrated calcium aluminate and carbo-aluminate were detected. In summary no unusual compounds or deterioration products with exception of calcium carbonate were noted. Some calcium carbonate, in this case calcite, is considered normal due to carbonation. No evidence of sulfate attack or alkali-silica reaction was noted.

Air Voids

15. There were no areas or zones in the cores with excessive air (entrained or trapped). Air voids under particles were normal but in most all cases these were not excessively large nor numerous. Shape of entrained air was essentially spherical, however, trapped air varied from spherical to ellipsoidal. Most all air voids present in the concrete cores were clean and there was no color difference between voids and mortar or any reaction products.

16. The air-void content, specific surface and spacing factor were determined by microscopical examination (using the Modified Point Method, ASTM C 457). The concrete slabs that were subsequently polished and used in this part of the study were cut parallel to the placed concrete. The results appear in Table 3 below.

TABLE 3

MISCROSCOPICAL DETERMINATION OF AIR-VOID PARAMETERS*

SAD Lab. No.	Hole No.	Depth (ft.)	Air**	Specific Surface	Spacing Factor
145/448	WDB-1b	2.7	6.55	16.610	0.201
145/454	WDB-1b	8.0	3.52	16.949	0.358
145/467	109-1	17.9	7.12	14.803	0.185
145/488	118-2	15.9	6.89	13.976	0.157

*Values in millimeters, 50X magnification.
**Entrained and Trapped Air.

17. There appears to be no correlation between the amount of air in the cores and their compressive strengths. The failure in most all cases, as mentioned previously, was bond failure around coarse aggregate particles. This mode of failure is understandable

due to the rounded shape and smooth surface texture charactistic of the aggregate in general.

CONCLUSIONS

18. Based on this examination, the concrete appears to be of good quality. No evidence of chemical attack or deleterious reactions between cement and paste were observed. The variations noted in the unconfined compression tests was usually due to bond failure around larger coarse aggregate particles.

Ray Willingham

RAY WILLINGHAM
Geologist

best copy available

Reproduced from poor document.



Figure 1. Polished surface (approx. 6.5x). Concrete core from Hole 109-1, Block 109. The rim on the particle to the right is the result of oxidation of the iron bearing carbonate mineral (probably ankerite) scattered throughout this rock particle.



Figure 2. Polished surface (approx. 16x). Concrete from Hole 118-2, Block 118. Characteristics of the cement paste are discernable in this view. Small dark specks are flyash grains. Two large voids occur near edges of photograph.

APPENDIX F

TESTING PHOTOGRAPHS

Figure F1. Typical concrete core after capping for Compression testing. Shows method used for handling cores for capping and testing.

Figure F2. Typical concrete core being prepared for tensile testing.



Figure F3. Typical concrete core in testing machine prior to Compression Test.



Figure F4. Close up of typical concrete core in testing machine prior to Coupression Test.

APPENDIX G

DIRECT SHEAR TESTS

(O/P 4-65)

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

Memorandum

TO : Head, Concrete Section

Denver, Colorado
DATE: April 1, 1985

THROUGH: ACTING Chief, Concrete and Structural Branch 4-2-85
Chief, Geotechnical Branch PCL 4-1-85

FROM : Head, Rock Mechanics Section

SUBJECT: Direct Shear Tests on Concrete Core from Grand Coulee Forebay Dam

Geotechnical Branch Memorandum Reference No. 85-34

Investigated and written by: R. Kelsic, R. Brammer, and J. Montgomery

PURPOSE

This memorandum transmits results from the following:

Date of D-1511 testing memorandum: January 15, 1985

Laboratory test results (and analyses) attached: Direct shear tests on core from Grand Coulee Forebay Dam.

Specimen(s) No.: 119, 120

Purpose of testing (analyses): To evaluate concrete lift joints at Grand Coulee Forebay Dam.

Date testing (analyses) completed: January 21, 1985

DISCUSSION

In response to a two-way memorandum dated January 15, 1985, direct shear tests were conducted on concrete cores from Grand Coulee Forebay Dam, Washington. Two 10-inch-diameter specimens from construction blocks 119 and 120 were tested to evaluate the shear strength along visible bonded construction joints in the dam. Ten direct shear runs were performed to define the shear strength-displacement characteristics of the construction joints.

Results of linear regression analyses on data for each specimen are summarized in table 1. Photographs of cores before and after testing, plots of shear stress versus displacement, plots of normal stress versus shear stress, and results of linear regression analysis summary sheet are shown in the appendix for each specimen. A linear regression analysis was also used to analyze combined sliding friction data from both specimens. These results are summarized in table 2 and shown graphically in figure 1.

Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan

Since only two specimens were tested, the combined break bond data have no statistical correlation. Results from recent large diameter concrete direct shear programs generally indicate the that angle of internal friction, ϕ_b, for break bond tests is between 40° and 60°, with a cohesion between 300 and 500 lb/in^2. Specimen 119, which was tested at an initial normal stress of 130 lb/in^2, broke at a peak shear stress of 591 lb/in^2. The resulting slide surface was smooth and showed very little disturbance in the form of sheared or loose aggregate. Specimen 120 was tested at an initial normal stress of 255 lb/in^2 and broke at a peak shear stress of 1,015 lb/in^2. The resulting slide surface was inclined and wavy, and subsequent sliding shear strength may have been influenced by the encapsulation material at one end of the slide surface (see photograph in the appendix).

The combined sliding friction data show a high correlation coefficient which indicates a close statistical fit of linear regression analysis of the data. The angle of sliding friction, ϕ_s, of 47° and cohesion of 93 lb/in^2 are typical values for sliding friction test results based on the results of other large diameter concrete direct shear programs. For many design situations, it is not unreasonable to assume zero cohesion for joint or plane of weakness in situ even though laboratory shear testing may indicate a small value of cohesion. Such an assumption for the sliding friction case is based on the fact that a joint can experience progressive failure in which cohesive bonds are broken. Therefore, it is more conservative to use zero cohesion rather than the 93 lb/in^2 indicated by the sliding friction data.

CONCLUSIONS

Test results should be used with discretion because of the limited number of test specimens (two) employed in this test program. Before applying these test results, a thorough review of available drill logs and construction reports should be conducted to locate areas of joint contact similar to those found in these laboratory test specimens. It is considered essential to identify those areas where these shear strength parameters might be applicable.

W. J. Austin

Attachment

Copy to: D-1511
D-1540
D-1542
D-1543 (2)

Table 1. - Direct shear test results - summary

Specimen No.	Feature	Surface condition	Area (in^2)	Shear[1] stress (lb/in^2)	Cohesion (lb/in^2)	Friction angle (degrees)	Comments[2]
Block 119	Intact joint	Smooth	77.44	591	95	43	130, 65, 130, 255, 380, 637
Block 120	Intact joint	Inclined and wavy	77.44	1,015	92	49	255, 65, 130, 255, 380, 637

[1] Peak shearing stress developed at the first applied normal stress.
[2] Loading sequence (normal stress in lb/in^2).

Table 2. - Combined test data summary

Feature	Break bond linear regression results		Sliding friction linear regression results	
	Angle of internal friction (degrees)	Cohesion (lb/in^2)	Angle of sliding friction (degrees)	Cohesion (lb/in^2)
Closed joints	1/	1/	47	93

[1] Insufficient number of specimens for statistical analysis.


DIRECT SHEAR TEST
CONCRETE JOINTS
6 Mar 1985
07:04:16
Project COLUMBIA BASIN
Feature GRAND COULEE DAM
Combined: CLOSED JOINTS
BREAKBOND RESULTS
INSUFFICIENT DATA FOR
STATISICAL ANALYSIS
SLIDING FRICTION RESULTS
s= 93.4 +1.055 (v)
Cohesion = 93 lb/in2
Phi=47° Cor Coef= .9817
SPECIMEN NO.
BLOCK 119 (B)
BLOCK 120 (B)
SHEAR STRESS,s - lb/in2
NORMAL STRESS,v - lb/in2
0
200
400
600
800
1000
1200
(S) - No Break Value
(B) - Break Value Included
LEGEND
B = Breakbond Value
X = Sliding Friction Values

Figure 1

APPENDIX

Parallel to sliding direction



Perpendicular to sliding direction

Specimen before testing



Specimen after testing

DIRECT SHEAR TEST

26 Jan 1985
10:28:42

Project	COLUMBIA BASIN
Feature	GRAND COULEE FOREBAY
Type	CONCRETE JOINT
Spec no.	BLOCK 119
Tested By:	J.M. R.B.
Date Tested	01/21/85
Area	77.44 Sq. in.



LEGEND

NORMAL lb/in2	SYMBOL
130	B
65	2
130	3
255	4
380	5
637	6

DIRECT SHEAR TEST

26 Jan 1985
10:31:17

Project	COLUMBIA BASIN
Feature	GRAND COULEE FOREBAY
Type	CONCRETE JOINT
Spec no.	BLOCK 119
Tested By:	J.M. R.B.
Date Tested	01/21/85
Area	77.44 Sq. in.



v lb/in2	s lb/in2
130	591
65	149
130	207
255	351
380	475
637	685

SLIDING FRICTION RESULTS

s= 95.1 + .949 (v)

COHESION = 95 lb/in2

PHI= 43° COR COEF= .9973

LEGEND
B = BREAKBOND VALUE
X = SLIDING FRICTION VALUES

26 Jan 1985 10:56:12

FINAL DATA

Project	COLUMBIA BASIN
Feature	GRAND COULEE FOREBAY
Type	CONCRETE JOINT
Spec no.	BLOCK 119
Tested By:	J.M. R.B.
Date Tested	01/21/85
Area	77.44 Sq. in.

NORMAL STRESS lb/in2	SHEAR STRESS lb/in2	DISPLACEMENT inches
130	2	0.0000
130	5	.0007
130	8	.0014
130	11	.0020
130	14	.0025
130	17	.0029
130	20	.0034
130	23	.0038
130	26	.0043
130	28	.0047
130	31	.0053
130	34	.0074
130	36	.0080
130	39	.0083
130	42	.0087
130	45	.0089
130	47	.0091
130	50	.0094
130	53	.0096
130	56	.0099
130	59	.0101
130	62	.0104
130	64	.0106
130	67	.0108
130	70	.0110
130	72	.0112
130	75	.0114
130	78	.0116
130	81	.0117
130	84	.0119
130	86	.0120
130	89	.0122
130	92	.0124
130	95	.0126
130	98	.0127
130	100	.0129
130	103	.0130
130	106	.0132
130	109	.0134
130	112	.0136
130	114	.0137
130	117	.0139
130	120	.0141
130	123	.0142
130	125	.0144
130	128	.0146
130	131	.0147
130	134	.0148
130	136	.0150
130	139	.0151
130	142	.0153
130	145	.0155
130	147	.0156
130	150	.0159
130	153	.0161
130	156	.0162
130	158	.0164
130	161	.0165
130	164	.0167
130	167	.0169
130	169	.0171
130	172	.0173
130	175	.0175
130	177	.0178
130	180	.0180
130	183	.0181
130	186	.0183
130	188	.0184
130	191	.0185
130	194	.0187
130	197	.0189
130	199	.0190
130	202	.0191
130	205	.0193
130	207	.0194
130	210	.0195
130	213	.0196
130	215	.0198
130	218	.0199
130	221	.0200
130	224	.0202
130	226	.0203
130	229	.0204
130	232	.0205
130	234	.0205
130	237	.0207
130	240	.0208
130	243	.0209
130	245	.0210
130	248	.0211
130	251	.0213
130	254	.0214
130	256	.0215
130	259	.0217
130	262	.0218
130	264	.0219
130	267	.0220
130	270	.0222
130	273	.0223
130	275	.0224
130	278	.0224
130	281	.0226
130	283	.0227
130	286	.0228
130	289	.0229
130	292	.0230
130	294	.0232
130	297	.0233
130	300	.0234
130	302	.0235
130	305	.0236
130	308	.0236
130	311	.0237
130	313	.0238
130	316	.0240
130	319	.0241
130	322	.0242
130	324	.0243
130	327	.0245

130	330	.0246
130	333	.0247
130	335	.0248
130	338	.0249
130	341	.0250
130	343	.0251
130	346	.0253
130	349	.0254
130	352	.0255
130	354	.0256
130	357	.0257
130	360	.0257
130	362	.0258
130	365	.0260
130	368	.0261
130	370	.0261
130	373	.0262
130	376	.0264
130	379	.0265
130	382	.0267
130	384	.0267
130	387	.0269
130	390	.0270
130	392	.0271
130	395	.0272
130	398	.0273
130	400	.0275
130	403	.0276
130	406	.0277
130	408	.0278
130	411	.0278
130	414	.0279
130	417	.0280
130	420	.0280
130	423	.0281
130	425	.0282
130	428	.0283
130	431	.0284
130	434	.0285
130	436	.0287
130	439	.0288
130	442	.0290
130	444	.0291
130	447	.0293
130	450	.0295
130	453	.0296
130	456	.0297
130	459	.0298
130	462	.0300
130	465	.0301
130	467	.0302
130	470	.0303
130	473	.0304
130	476	.0305
130	479	.0306
130	482	.0307
130	484	.0310
130	487	.0311
130	490	.0312
130	493	.0313
130	495	.0314
130	498	.0315
130	501	.0317
130	503	.0318
130	506	.0320
130	509	.0321
130	512	.0323
130	514	.0325
130	517	.0325
130	520	.0326
130	522	.0327
130	525	.0328
130	528	.0329
130	531	.0330
130	534	.0331
130	536	.0333
130	539	.0334
130	542	.0335
130	545	.0336
130	547	.0337
130	550	.0338
130	553	.0340
130	556	.0342
130	558	.0344
130	561	.0345
130	564	.0347
130	566	.0348
130	569	.0349
130	575	.0351
130	582	.0353
130	588	.0355
130	591	.0359
130	589	.0363
130	407	.0509
130	288	.0512
130	292	.0522
130	287	.0535
130	283	.0549
130	278	.0562
130	273	.0577
-130	269	.0591
65	8	0.0000
65	20	.0025
65	32	.0050
65	40	.0076
65	62	.0101
65	87	.0127
65	110	.0152
65	126	.0177
65	139	.0202
65	148	.0228
65	149	.0253
65	145	.0279
65	141	.0305
65	137	.0330
65	134	.0355
65	132	.0381
65	131	.0406
65	129	.0431
65	128	.0456
65	126	.0482
65	125	.0508
65	122	.0533
-65	120	.0559
130	2	0.0000
130	15	.0026
130	31	.0051
130	35	.0076
130	56	.0101
130	82	.0126
130	110	.0151
130	135	.0177
130	156	.0202
130	170	.0227

130	184	.0253
130	191	.0278
130	197	.0303
130	202	.0329
130	204	.0354
130	207	.0380
130	206	.0405
130	204	.0430
130	203	.0456
130	202	.0481
-130	201	.0507
255	8	0.0000
255	26	.0025
255	34	.0051
255	49	.0076
255	79	.0101
255	113	.0126
255	146	.0152
255	173	.0177
255	210	.0203
255	244	.0228
255	278	.0253
255	305	.0278
255	326	.0304
255	341	.0329
255	350	.0354
255	351	.0379
255	350	.0405
255	348	.0430
-255	346	.0455
380	0	0.0000
380	14	.0025
380	32	.0051
380	39	.0076
380	64	.0101
380	102	.0126
380	141	.0152
380	172	.0177
380	220	.0202
380	269	.0228
380	318	.0253
380	365	.0279
380	406	.0304
380	441	.0329
380	464	.0354
380	475	.0379
380	469	.0405
380	469	.0430
380	466	.0455
-380	461	.0480
637	3	0.0000
637	18	.0025
637	35	.0052
637	45	.0078
637	77	.0103
637	119	.0129
637	162	.0154
637	202	.0179
637	259	.0205
637	320	.0230
637	386	.0255
637	449	.0281
637	507	.0306
637	562	.0331
637	609	.0356
637	645	.0381
637	667	.0406
637	679	.0432
637	683	.0457
637	685	.0482
637	682	.0507
637	680	.0533
637	680	.0558
637	677	.0583
637	674	.0608
-637	672	.0634

Parallel to sliding direction

Perpendicular to sliding direction

Specimen before testing

Specimen after testing


DIRECT SHEAR TEST
26 Jan 1985
10:46:43
Project COLUMBIA BASIN
Feature GRAND COULEE FOREBAY
Type CONCRETE JOINT
Spec no. BLOCK 120
Tested By: J.M. R.B.
Date Tested 01/21/85
Area 77.44 Sq. in.
LEGEND
NORMAL lb/in2
SYMBOL
255 8
65 2
130 3
255 4
380 5
637 6
SHEAR STRESS,s - lb/in2
1200
1000
800
600
400
200
0
0
.02
.04
.06
.08
.1
.12
DISPLACEMENT - ins.

DIRECT SHEAR TEST

26 Jan 1985
10:49:18

Project	COLUMBIA BASIN
Feature	GRAND COULEE FOREBAY
Type	CONCRETE JOINT
Spec no.	BLOCK 120
Tested By:	J.M. R.B.
Date Tested	01/21/85
Area	77.44 Sq. in.



v lb/in2	s lb/in2
255	1015
65	139
130	241
255	414
380	561
637	808

SLIDING FRICTION RESULTS

s= 91.7 +1.162 (v)

COHESION = 92 lb/in2

PHI= 49° COR COEF= .9949

LEGEND
B = BREAKBOND VALUE
X = SLIDING FRICTION VALUES

26 Jan 1985 10:58:55

FINAL DATA

Project	COLUMBIA BASIN
Feature	GRAND COULEE FOREBAY
Type	CONCRETE JOINT
Spec no.	BLOCK 120
Tested By:	J.M. R.B.
Date Tested	01/21/85
Area	77.44 Sq. in.

NORMAL STRESS lb/in2	SHEAR STRESS lb/in2	DISPLACEMENT inches
255	2	0.0000
255	5	.0024
255	8	.0037
255	10	.0046
255	13	.0053
255	16	.0059
255	18	.0065
255	21	.0071
255	24	.0077
255	27	.0083
255	29	.0088
255	32	.0094
255	35	.0099
255	38	.0106
255	40	.0126
255	43	.0132
255	46	.0136
255	49	.0140
255	52	.0143
255	55	.0147
255	57	.0150
255	60	.0154
255	63	.0157
255	65	.0160
255	68	.0163
255	71	.0165
255	73	.0168
255	76	.0171
255	79	.0174
255	82	.0177
255	81	.0187
255	74	.0193
255	72	.0196
255	74	.0199
255	77	.0202
255	80	.0205
255	83	.0207
255	86	.0209
255	88	.0212
255	91	.0214
255	94	.0216
255	96	.0218
255	99	.0220
255	102	.0222
255	105	.0224
255	108	.0226
255	110	.0228
255	113	.0230
255	116	.0231
255	119	.0234
255	121	.0235
255	124	.0237
255	127	.0238
255	130	.0240
255	132	.0242
255	135	.0244
255	138	.0245
255	140	.0247
255	143	.0249
255	146	.0250
255	149	.0252
255	151	.0253
255	154	.0255
255	157	.0257
255	160	.0258
255	163	.0260
255	166	.0262
255	168	.0263
255	171	.0265
255	174	.0266
255	177	.0268
255	179	.0269
255	182	.0271
255	185	.0273
255	187	.0275
255	190	.0276
255	193	.0278
255	196	.0280
255	198	.0281
255	201	.0283
255	204	.0286
255	206	.0288
255	209	.0289
255	212	.0290
255	214	.0292
255	217	.0293
255	220	.0294
255	223	.0296
255	225	.0297
255	228	.0298
255	231	.0299
255	233	.0300
255	236	.0301
255	239	.0302
255	242	.0303
255	244	.0304
255	247	.0305
255	250	.0306
255	253	.0307
255	255	.0308
255	258	.0309
255	261	.0310
255	264	.0311
255	266	.0312
255	269	.0313
255	272	.0314
255	274	.0316
255	277	.0317
255	280	.0318
255	283	.0319
255	285	.0321
255	288	.0322
255	291	.0323
255	294	.0324
255	296	.0325
255	299	.0326
255	302	.0327
255	305	.0328
255	307	.0330
255	310	.0330

255	313	.0332
255	315	.0333
255	318	.0334
255	321	.0335
255	324	.0336
255	327	.0336
255	329	.0337
255	332	.0338
255	335	.0340
255	338	.0341
255	341	.0342
255	344	.0344
255	347	.0345
255	349	.0346
255	352	.0347
255	355	.0348
255	357	.0350
255	360	.0351
255	363	.0352
255	366	.0353
255	368	.0354
255	371	.0355
255	374	.0356
255	377	.0357
255	380	.0358
255	382	.0359
255	385	.0360
255	388	.0361
255	391	.0362
255	393	.0363
255	396	.0364
255	399	.0365
255	402	.0366
255	404	.0367
255	407	.0368
255	410	.0369
255	413	.0370
255	415	.0371
255	418	.0372
255	421	.0372
255	423	.0373
255	426	.0375
255	429	.0376
255	432	.0377
255	434	.0377
255	437	.0378
255	440	.0379
255	443	.0380
255	446	.0381
255	448	.0382
255	451	.0383
255	454	.0384
255	457	.0385
255	459	.0386
255	462	.0387
255	465	.0388
255	467	.0389
255	470	.0390
255	473	.0392
255	476	.0393
255	478	.0394
255	481	.0395
255	484	.0396
255	490	.0397
255	493	.0399
255	496	.0400
255	499	.0400
255	501	.0401
255	507	.0402
255	510	.0403
255	513	.0404
255	517	.0405
255	520	.0406
255	523	.0407
255	526	.0408
255	529	.0409
255	532	.0410
255	534	.0411
255	537	.0412
255	540	.0413
255	543	.0414
255	546	.0415
255	549	.0416
255	551	.0417
255	554	.0419
255	557	.0420
255	560	.0421
255	562	.0422
255	565	.0423
255	568	.0425
255	571	.0426
255	573	.0426
255	576	.0428
255	579	.0428
255	582	.0430
255	584	.0430
255	587	.0432
255	590	.0433
255	593	.0434
255	595	.0434
255	598	.0436
255	601	.0437
255	603	.0438
255	606	.0439
255	609	.0440
255	612	.0441
255	614	.0443
255	617	.0444
255	620	.0444
255	623	.0445
255	626	.0446
255	629	.0447
255	631	.0448
255	634	.0448
255	637	.0449
255	640	.0450
255	643	.0451
255	645	.0452
255	648	.0453
255	651	.0454
255	653	.0455
255	656	.0456
255	659	.0457
255	661	.0458
255	664	.0459
255	667	.0459
255	670	.0460
255	672	.0461
255	675	.0462
255	678	.0463
255	680	.0463
255	683	.0464
255	686	.0466
255	689	.0467
255	692	.0468
255	694	.0469
255	697	.0470

255	700	.0470
255	703	.0471
255	705	.0472
255	708	.0473
255	711	.0474
255	714	.0475
255	716	.0476
255	719	.0478
255	722	.0479
255	724	.0480
255	727	.0481
255	730	.0482
255	732	.0483
255	735	.0484
255	738	.0485
255	741	.0486
255	743	.0487
255	746	.0488
255	749	.0489
255	752	.0491
255	754	.0492
255	757	.0493
255	760	.0493
255	762	.0494
255	765	.0495
255	768	.0496
255	771	.0497
255	773	.0498
255	776	.0499
255	779	.0500
255	782	.0501
255	784	.0502
255	787	.0504
255	790	.0505
255	792	.0506
255	795	.0507
255	798	.0508
255	801	.0508
255	804	.0509
255	806	.0510
255	809	.0511
255	812	.0512
255	815	.0513
255	817	.0514
255	820	.0515
255	823	.0517
255	826	.0518
255	829	.0520
255	831	.0522
255	834	.0524
255	837	.0524
255	839	.0525
255	842	.0526
255	845	.0527
255	847	.0528
255	850	.0528
255	853	.0529
255	855	.0530
255	858	.0531
255	861	.0532
255	863	.0533
255	866	.0534
255	869	.0535
255	872	.0536
255	874	.0538
255	877	.0539
255	880	.0540
255	883	.0541
255	885	.0542
255	888	.0544
255	891	.0544
255	894	.0545
255	897	.0546
255	899	.0546
255	902	.0548
255	905	.0549
255	907	.0551
255	910	.0552
255	913	.0553
255	916	.0554
255	919	.0555
255	921	.0557
255	924	.0558
255	927	.0559
255	929	.0561
255	932	.0563
255	935	.0564
255	938	.0564
255	940	.0565
255	943	.0567
255	946	.0568
255	949	.0569
255	952	.0570
255	954	.0571
255	957	.0572
255	960	.0575
255	962	.0576
255	965	.0576
255	968	.0578
255	971	.0578
255	973	.0580
255	976	.0582
255	979	.0583
255	981	.0584
255	984	.0585
255	987	.0586
255	990	.0587
255	992	.0589
255	995	.0590
255	998	.0591
255	1007	.0593
255	1013	.0594
255	1015	.0602
255	1001	.0609
255	995	.0614
255	986	.0625
255	971	.0635
255	958	.0644
255	948	.0657
255	932	.0664
255	927	.0671
255	924	.0677
255	920	.0684
255	917	.0692
255	908	.0701
255	900	.0713
255	860	.0901
255	495	.0998
255	486	.1023
255	481	.1046
-255	473	.1069
65	2	0.0000
65	6	.0025
65	14	.0050
65	26	.0076

65	39	.0102
65	45	.0127
65	66	.0152
65	91	.0178
65	116	.0204
65	134	.0229
65	139	.0255
65	135	.0280
65	133	.0305
65	133	.0331
65	132	.0357
65	131	.0384
-65	130	.0410
130	4	0.0000
130	12	.0025
130	24	.0050
130	38	.0076
130	45	.0101
130	68	.0126
130	98	.0151
130	130	.0177
130	159	.0202
130	183	.0227
130	202	.0253
130	215	.0278
130	228	.0303
130	237	.0328
130	241	.0354
130	239	.0379
130	237	.0404
-130	234	.0430
255	5	0.0000
255	13	.0025
255	27	.0051
255	41	.0076
255	52	.0102
255	79	.0128
255	115	.0153
255	157	.0178
255	196	.0203
255	231	.0229
255	273	.0254
255	313	.0280
255	349	.0305
255	378	.0331
255	399	.0356
255	408	.0381
255	410	.0406
255	412	.0432
255	414	.0458
-255	413	.0483
380	7	0.0000
380	17	.0025
380	33	.0051
380	44	.0076
380	63	.0102
380	96	.0127
380	136	.0152
380	184	.0177
380	224	.0203
380	275	.0228
380	326	.0253
380	379	.0278
380	427	.0304
380	474	.0329
380	515	.0354
380	544	.0379
380	559	.0404
380	561	.0429
380	558	.0455
-380	555	.0480
637	10	0.0000
637	18	.0025
637	32	.0050
637	47	.0076
637	62	.0101
637	97	.0126
637	142	.0151
637	194	.0176
637	235	.0201
637	295	.0227
637	360	.0252
637	427	.0277
637	490	.0302
637	558	.0327
637	618	.0352
637	677	.0377
637	727	.0402
637	767	.0427
637	793	.0452
637	807	.0478
637	809	.0503
637	807	.0528
637	808	.0553
637	807	.0578
637	904	.0603
637	803	.0629
637	798	.0654

GPO 850-021

Mission of the Bureau of Reclamation

The Bureau of Reclamation of the U.S. Department of the Interior is responsible for the development and conservation of the Nation's water resources in the Western United States.

The Bureau's original purpose "to provide for the reclamation of arid and semiarid lands in the West" today covers a wide range of interrelated functions. These include providing municipal and industrial water supplies; hydroelectric power generation; irrigation water for agriculture; water quality improvement; flood control; river navigation; river regulation and control; fish and wildlife enhancement; outdoor recreation; and research on water-related design, construction, materials, atmospheric management, and wind and solar power.

Bureau programs most frequently are the result of close cooperation with the U.S. Congress, other Federal agencies, States, local governments, academic institutions, water-user organizations, and other concerned groups.

A free pamphlet is available from the Bureau entitled "Publications for Sale." It describes some of the technical publications currently available, their cost, and how to order them. The pamphlet can be obtained upon request from the Bureau of Reclamation, Attn D-822A, P O Box 25007, Denver Federal Center, Denver CO 80225-0007.